- 1		
1	Sophia Goren Gold (SBN 307971) KALIELGOLD PLLC	
2	950 Gilman Street, Suite 200 Berkeley, California 94710	
3	Telephone: (202) 350-4783 sgold@kalielgold.com	
4	Jeffrey D. Kaliel (SBN 238293)	
5	KALIELGOLD PLLC 1100 15th Street NW 4th Floor	
6	Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 350-4783	
7	jkaliel@kalielgold.com	
8	Jeff Ostrow (<i>pro hac vice</i> pending) KOPELOWITZ OSTROW P.A. One West Las Olas Blvd., Suite 500	
10	Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Telephone: (954) 525-4100	
11	Facsimile: (954) 525-4300 ostrow@kolawyers.com	
12	Counsel for Plaintiff and Proposed Class	
13		IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14		DIEGO, HALL OF JUSTICE
15	ELAINE WARD-HOWIE, on behalf of herself	Case No. 37-2022-00016328-CU-BC-CTL
16	and all others similarly situated,	Assigned to Hon. Katherine A. Bacal Dept.: C-69
17	Plaintiff,	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
18	VS.	AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION
19	FRONTWAVE CREDIT UNION,	FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND
20	Defendant.	APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND INCENTIVE
21		AWARD
22		[Unopposed Notice of Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement; Joint
23		Declaration of Sophia Gold and Jeff Ostrow; Declaration of Cameron Azari; and
24		[Proposed] Order filed concurrently herewith]
25		Date: July 12, 2024 Time: 1:30 p.m.
26		Dept: C-69
27		Amended Complaint Filed: January 4, 2023
28		Complaint Filed: April 29, 2022 Trial Date: None Set
- 1	1	1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2					<u>Page</u>
3	I.	INTR	ODUCT	TION	6
4	II.	SUMN	MARY	OF THE LITIGATION	. 7
5		A.	Litigat	tion History	7
6		B.	Prelim	inary Approval Order	. 8
7	III.	BRIE	F SUMI	MARY OF THE KEY TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT	. 8
8		A.	Settler	nent Class Benefits	. 8
9		B.	Plainti	ff's Application for Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Incentive Award	9
10		C.	The N	otice Program Was Successfully Completed	9
11	IV.	THE S	SETTLE	EMENT SHOULD BE FINALLY APPROVED	. 10
12		A.	Legal	Standard for Final Approval	. 10
13		B.	The Se	ettlement is Fair, Adequate, and Reasonable	. 11
14			1.	The Settlement Was the Result of Arm's-Length Bargaining and Informed Negotiations	_ 11
15 16			2.	The Extent of Investigation and Discovery Completed Supports The Settlement	. 12
17			3.	Class Counsel is Highly Experienced in Banking Fee Litigation And Supports the Instant Settlement	. 12
18			4.	The Positive Reaction of Class Members Favors Final Approval	. 13
19 20	V.			EMENT CLASS SHOULD BE FINALLY CERTIFIED FOR IT PURPOSES ONLY	. 13
21	VI.			SHOULD GRANT THE REQUESTED ATTORNEYS' FEES	
22		A.		equested Fee is Reasonable Under the Percentage-of-the-Beneifit	14
23		D		ach	. 14
24		В.	the Lo	equested Fee is Also Reasonable When Corss-Checked Under destar Approach	17
25	VII.	CLAS	S COU	NSEL IS ENTITLED TO REIMBURSEMENT OF THEIR COSTS	. 19
26	VIII.			IVE AWARD TO PLAINTIFF IS REASONABLE AND TE	_ 20
27 28	IX.)N	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

2	Page(s)
3	Cases
4 5	7-Eleven Owners for Fair Franchising v. Southland Corp. (2000) 85 Cal.App.4 th 1135
6	Apple Computer, Inc. v. Super. Ct. (2005) 126 Cal.App.4 th 1253
7 8	Banas v. Volcano Corp. (N.D. Cal. 20140 47 F.Supp.3d 965
9	Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert (1980) 444 U.S. 472
10 11	Carlin v. DairyAmerica, Inc. (E.D. Cal. 2019) 380 F.Supp.3d 99814
12	Cellphone Termination Fee Cases (2010) 186 Cal.App.4 th 1380
13 14	Chavez v. Netflix, Inc. (2008) 162 Cal.App.4 th 43
15	Concepcion v. Amscan Holdings, Inc. (2014) 223 Cal.App.4 th 1309
16 17	Craft v. Sounty of San Bernardino (C.D. Cal. 2008) 624 F.Supp.2d 1113
18	Dennis v. Kellogg Co. (S.D. Cal. Nov. 14, 2013) No. 09CV1786-L (WMc), 2013 WL 6055326
19 20	Dunk v. Ford Motor Co. (1996) 48 Cal.App.4 th 1794
21	Estrada v. Royalty Carpet Mills, Inc. (Cal. Ct. App. Mar. 23, 2022) No. G059681, 2022 WL 855977
22 23	Farrell v. Bank of America, N.A. (S.d. Cal. 2018) 327 F.R.D. 422 (9th Cir. 2020) 827 Fed. Appx. 628
24	Glendora Community Redevelopment Agency v. Demeter (1984) 155 Cal.App.3d 465
25 26	Hash v. First Financial Bancorp (S.D. Ind. Nov. 22, 2021) 2021 WL 12269064
27	Hensley v. Eckerhart (1983) 461 U.S. 424
28	In re Microsoft I-V Cases 3
	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ISO MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS

ACTION SETTLEMENT; APP FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND INCENTIVE AWARD

1	(2006) 135 Cal.App.4 th 706
2	In Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Practices and Prod. Liab. Litig. (N.D. Cal. Mar. 17, 2017) 2017 WL 1047834
3 4	Ketchum v. Moses (2001) 24 Cal.4 th 1122
5	Kullar v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc. (2008) 168 Cal.App.4 th 116
6 7	Laffitte v. Robert Half Int'l, (2016) 1 Cal.5 th 480
8	Lealao v. Beneficial Cal., Inc. (2000) 82 Cal.App.4 th 19
10	Lloyd v. Navy Fed. Credit Union (S.D. Cal. May 28, 2019) No. 17-cv-1280-BAS-RBB, 2019 WL 2269958
11	Munoz v. BCI Coca-Cola Bottling Co. of Los Angeles (2010) 186 Cal.App.4 th 39919
13	Officers for Justice v. Civil Service Comm'n, etc. (9th Cir. 1982) 688
14 15	Parkinson v. Hyundai Motor America (C.D. Cal. 2010) 796 F.Supp.2d 1160
16	PLCM Group, Inc. v. Drexler (2000) 22 Cal. 4 th 1084
17 18	Serrano v. Priest (1977) 20 Cal.3d 25
19	Stathakos v. Columbia Sportswear Co. (N.d. Cal. Apr. 9, 2018) 2018 WL 1710075
20	Syers Properties III, Inc. v. Rankin (2014) 226 Cal.App4th 691
22	Testone v. Barlean's Organic Oils, LLC (S.D. Cal. Mar. 6, 2023) No. 3:19-cv-00169-RBM-BGS, 2023 WL 2375246
23	Van Vranken v. Atlantic Richfield, Inc. (N.D. Cal. 1995) 901 F. Supp. 294
24 25	Vizcaino v. Microsoft Corp. (9 th Cir. 2002) 290 F.3d 1043
26	Wang v. StubHub, Inc. (Cal. Super. Ct. S.F. Cnty. Aug. 8, 2022)
27 28	Wershba v. Apple Computer, Inc. (2001) 91 Cal.App.4 th 224
	4
	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ISO MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT; APP FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND INCENTIVE AWARD

1 2	Wit v. United Behavioral Health (N.d. Cal. Jan. 5, 2022) 2022 WL 450572)
3	<u>Statutes</u>
4	28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)
5	Cal. Bus. Pro. Code § § 17200
6	Cal. Code of Civ. Pro. § 1033.5(a)
7	Cal. Code of Civ. Pro. § 1033.5I(4)
8	Cal. Code of Civ. Pro. § 1033.5(a)
9	Cal. Code of Civ. Pro. § 382
10	Rules
11	Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 3.766
12	Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 3.769
13	Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(f)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Elaine Ward-Howie respectfully seeks Final Approval¹ of a direct-benefit Settlement wherein Defendant Frontwave Credit Union has agreed to pay a total of \$2,000,000.00 in monetary relief to the Settlement Class—representing approximately 46% of the Settlement Class's alleged damages from Relevant Fees² assessed during the Class Period. The Value of the Settlement includes a cash Settlement Fund of \$1,872,814.00 for Relevant Fees and the forgiveness of approximately \$127,186.00 in Uncollected Relevant Fees that were assessed but not paid because they were charged off. This constitutes an exceptional result for the Settlement Class and represents a fair, adequate, and reasonable resolution of the Action.

On February 21, 2024, after the Court diligently reviewed the Agreement and the Parties' briefing, the Court concluded the Settlement falls within the range of possible final approval by granting Preliminary Approval, certifying the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only, and approved the manner, form, and content of the Notice and Notice Program. (*See* Dkt. 84, Preliminary Approval Order.) Thus, the specific terms of this Settlement have already been thoroughly and meticulously reviewed by the Court.

The Settlement has been well received by the Settlement Class. The Notice Program resulted in 22,106 Settlement Class members being sent direct Postcard Notice or Email Notice of the Settlement. To date, there are zero opt-outs and zero objections. This represents an overwhelmingly positive response to the Settlement and only further justifies Final Approval so the Settlement Class Members will receive their *pro rata* share of the Net Settlement Fund.

Additionally, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court approve an award of \$666,660.00 in attorneys' fees for Class Counsel, \$11,736.19 in litigation costs, a \$5,000.00 Incentive Award for

¹ All capitalized terms bear the same meaning as the terms defined in the Settlement Agreement and Release (the "Agreement"), attached as *Exhibit A*.

² Relevant Fees include (a) Overdraft Fees assessed on signature Point of Sale Debit Card transactions where there was a sufficient available balance at the time the transaction was authorized, but an insufficient available balance at the time the transaction was presented to Defendant for payment and posted to the Account; and (b) Retry Fees, which are Returned Item Fees and Overdraft Fees that were charged for Automated Clearing House (ACH) and check transactions that were re-submitted by a merchant after being returned by Defendant for insufficient funds. (Agreement ¶¶ 13, 49.)

the Class Representative, and Settlement Administration Costs of up to \$129,450, all of which are to be paid from the Settlement Fund. Class Counsel is entitled to reasonable compensation for the work performed and the costs incurred in prosecuting this case and achieving great results on behalf of the Settlement Class. Based on the work that Class Counsel did in order to obtain these significant benefits for the Settlement Class, the requested attorneys' fee award represents 33.33% of the Value of the Settlement. This amount is reasonable and routinely approved by courts in California and across the nation in similar complex class action settlements. Plaintiff also expended her time and effort and took significant financial and reputation risks for the Settlement Class's benefit, thus, imposing a financial burden on Plaintiff out of proportion to her individual stake in the matter. As such, Plaintiff should receive an Incentive Award for serving as Class Representative.

In light of the excellent results achieved for the Settlement Class, Plaintiff now respectfully requests Final Approval of the Settlement, finding it to be fair, adequate, and reasonable; enter the Final Approval Order; and grant Class Counsel the requested attorneys' fees and costs, the Class Representative's Incentive Award, and Settlement Administration Costs.

II. SUMMARY OF THE LITIGATION

A. Litigation History

In Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval, Plaintiff detailed the Action's history. (See Dkt. 71.) Plaintiff refers to the Court that detailed recounting which described: (1) the Complaint filing on April 29, 2022 alleging that Frontwave breached its contract and violated California Business & Professions Code § 17200, et seq. by assessing APPSN Fees; (2) Frontwave's removal of the Action on June 17, 2022 under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) ("CAFA"), its subsequent motion to dismiss, Plaintiff's motion to remand, and the federal court's remand of the Action under CAFA's discretionary home state exception; (3) Frontwave's demurrer; (4) Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint filed on January 4, 2023 adding the additional claim regarding Retry Fees and Frontwave's second demurrer; (5) Defendant's expert's evaluation of Frontwave's account-level transaction data to assess its damages exposure for Relevant Fees and production of over 500 pages of documents regarding Frontwave's fee practices and damages exposure; (6) the Parties' arm's-length negotiations, including an all-day mediation with Judge Edward A. Infante

(Ret.) of JAMS; and (7) the Parties' agreement that in exchange for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members' releases, Frontwave will pay \$1,872,814.00 to create a common fund and forgive \$127,186.00 in Uncollected Relevant Fees for the benefit of the Settlement Class.

B. Preliminary Approval Order

On February 21, 2024, the Court granted Preliminary Approval and conditionally certified a Settlement Class consisting of the APPSN Fee Class and the Retry Fee Class, defined as:

"APPSN Fee Class" means those current or former members of Defendant who were assessed APPSN Fees from April 29, 2018, through June 30, 2022.

"Retry Fee Class" shall mean those current or former members of Defendant who were assessed Retry Fees from January 4, 2019, through June 30, 2022.

(Preliminary Approval Order, ¶ 2.)

Plaintiff Elaine Ward-Howie was appointed Class Representative, and Sophia Goren Gold and Jeffrey D. Kaliel of KalielGold PLLC and Jeff Ostrow of Kopelowitz Ostrow P.A. were appointed Class Counsel. (*Id.* ¶ 9.) The Court also approved the Notices and the Notice Program. (*Id.* ¶¶ 11-12.)

III. BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE KEY TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT

A. Settlement Class Benefits

In exchange for the Settlement Releases, Defendant shall (1) transfer to the Settlement Administrator the Settlement Fund, less the total amount that will be credited to Settlement Class Members by Defendant, and (2) forgive, waive, and agree not to collect from Settlement Class Members all Uncollected Relevant Fees. (Agreement ¶¶ 63-64.) The total recovery for the Settlement Class represents approximately 46% of their total alleged damages in Relevant Fees. (Joint Declaration of Class Counsel ["Joint Decl."], ¶ 25, filed concurrently herewith.) Defendant shall have no reversionary interest in any portion of the Settlement benefits. (Agreement ¶ 84.d.iv.)

The Net Settlement Fund shall be paid *pro rata* to Settlement Class Members using the equitable formulas outlined in the Agreement, allocating 78.5% for the APPSN Fee Class and 21.5% for the Retry Fee Class, simply because Defendant's damages exposure was proportionally far bigger for the one class. (*Id.* ¶ 84.d.) Applying the Agreement's formulas, the Settlement Administrator shall identify the full amount of Settlement Class Member Payments. (*Id.*)

No later than 30 days after the Effective Date, Defendant and the settlement Administrator shall distribute the Net Settlement Fund to Settlement Class Members. (*Id.* ¶ 84.d.iii.) Defendant will make Account credits to Current Accountholders. (*Id.* ¶ 84.d.iii.(1).) If by the deadline for Defendant to apply credits, it is unable to complete certain credits, Defendant shall deliver the total amount of such unsuccessful Settlement Class Member Payments to the Settlement Administrator to issue checks. (*Id.*) The Settlement Administrator will mail Past Accountholders a check. (*Id.* ¶ 84.d.iii.(2).) The Agreement includes a one-time check re-mailing process. (*Id.*)

If there are Residual Funds from uncashed checks, the Agreement first provides for a second distribution to participating Settlement Class Members (who received an Account Credit or cashed a check), to the extent feasible and practical in light of the costs of administering such subsequent payments, unless the amounts involved are too small to make individual distributions economically feasible or other specific reasons exist that would make such further distributions impossible or unfair. (*Id.* ¶ 87.a.) Second, if a second distribution does not occur, or if there are Residual Funds after a second distribution, those funds shall be distributed to a Court-approved *cy pres* recipient. (*Id.* ¶ 87.b.) The Parties propose the Frontwave Foundation, or in the alternative, Armed Services YMCA (Camp Pendleton, 29 Palms & San Diego). *Id.*

B. Plaintiff's Application for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Incentive Award

Class Counsel also requests a \$5,000.00 Incentive Award to Plaintiff for serving as the Class Representative. (Agreement ¶ 84.b.) In addition, Class Counsel requests 33.33% (or \$666,600.00) of the Value of the Settlement (\$2,000,000.00), and litigation costs totaling \$11,736.19. (*Id.* ¶ 84.b; Joint Decl. ¶ 26.) Final Approval is not contingent on these requested awards. (Agreement ¶ 84.a.b.)

C. The Notice Program Was Successfully Completed

The Court approved the manner, form, and content of the Notices pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.769(f). Class notice should give "sufficient information to allow each class member to decide whether to accept the benefit he or she would receive under the settlement, or to opt out and pursue his or her own claim. . . No more than that [is] required." (*Chavez v. Netflix, Inc.* (2008) 162 Cal.App.4th 43, 56.) The provided Notice undoubtedly satisfied these requirements. (*See also* Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.766.)

The Settlement Administrator provided direct Email Notice and Postcard Notice to all Settlement Class members via the email addresses and mailing address contained in Frontwave's business records. (Declaration of Cameron R. Azari, Esq. on Implementation and Adequacy of Notice Program ["Notice Decl."], filed concurrently herewith, ¶¶ 21-22.) The Settlement Administrator sent Notice to a total of 22,234 unique, identified Settlement Class members. (*Id.* ¶ 22.) Of the 7,877 total Email Notices that were sent, just 390 emails were returned as undeliverable. (*Id.* ¶¶ 23-24.) And of the 14,357 total Postcard Notices that were sent, 46 Postcard Notices were re-mailed. (*Id.* ¶¶ 25, 27.) An additional 389 Postcard Notices were sent to those Settlement Class members for whom an Email Notice was undeliverable after multiple attempts. (*Id.* ¶ 25.) In summation, as of May 13, 2024, an Email Notice and/or Postcard Notice was delivered to 22,106 of the 22,234 unique, identified Settlement Class members, resulting in a successful delivery rate of approximately 99%. (*Id.* ¶ 29.)

Notice has also been effectuated via the Settlement Website, which contained the Long Form Notice and other important Settlement-related filings. (*Id.* ¶ 30.) The Settlement Administrator established the Settlement Website on April 5, 2024. (*Id.*) As of May 13, 2024, the Settlement Website had 468 unique visitors with 571 pages presented. (*Id.*)

On April 5, 2024, the Settlement Administrator also established an automated toll-free telephone line, available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, for Settlement Class Members to call to listen to answers to frequently asked questions and to request Long Form Notices to be mailed. (*Id.* ¶ 31.) As of May 13, 2024, the toll-free number has handled 140 calls representing 424 minutes of use, and 34 Long Form Notices have been mailed upon such requests. (*Id.* ¶¶ 28, 31.)

To date, the Settlement Administrator has received zero opt-outs and zero objections. (*Id.* ¶ 33.) If there are any timely objections or opt-outs following this Motion, the Court will be informed, and a response to any objection will be filed.

IV. THE SETTLEMENT SHOULD BE FINALLY APPROVED

A. Legal Standard for Final Approval

Final court approval is required for class action settlements. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.769.) California has a strong judicial policy that favors settlements, particularly in class action litigation.

(See In re Microsoft I-V Cases (2006) 135 Cal.App.4th 706, 723 n.14 ["Public policy generally favors the compromise of complex class action litigation."].) Courts have broad discretion to determine whether the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable to further the goals of protecting class members and preventing fraud, collusion, or unfairness to the class. (Dunk v. Ford Motor Co. (1996) 48 Cal.App.4th 1794, 1800-01.) In making this determination, "[d]ue regard should be given to what is otherwise a private consensual agreement between the parties." (Id. at p. 1801 [citing Officers for Justice v. Civil Service Comm'n, etc. (9th Cir. 1982) 688 F.2d 615, 625; see also, 7-Eleven Owners for Fair Franchising v. Southland Corp. (2000) 85 Cal.App.4th 1135, 1145 ["for it is the very uncertainty of outcome in litigation and avoidance of wasteful and expensive litigation that induce consensual settlements." [Citation].)

This Court has already determined the Settlement is in the range of being fair, reasonable, and adequate—a determination supported by ample case law identifying the factors for granting Preliminary Approval and after a thorough review of the Settlement terms. And nothing in the record suggests the Court should deviate from its finding that Plaintiff has established that a presumption of fairness exists. Four factors continue to support a finding that the Settlement is fair: (1) the settlement was reached through arm's-length bargaining; (2) investigation and discovery allowed counsel and the Court to act intelligently; (3) counsel is experienced in similar litigation; and (4) the percentage of objectors is small. (*Dunk, supra,* 48 Cal.App.4th at p. 1802; *Wershba v. Apple Computer, Inc.* (2001) 91 Cal.App.4th 224, 245.) When these criteria are satisfied, any objectors to the proposed settlement must rebut that showing and demonstrate why it should not be approved. (*See 7-Eleven Owners for Fair Franchising, supra,* 85 Cal.App.4th at p. 1166 [citing *Dunk, supra,* 48 Cal.App.4th at p. 1800].)

B. The Settlement is Fair, Adequate, and Reasonable

1. The Settlement Was the Result of Arm's-Length Bargaining and Informed Negotiations

The Settlement was reached only after the Parties vigorously negotiated each Settlement term through arm's-length bargaining conducted in good faith and informed by a sufficient investigation and evaluation of the Action's strengths and weaknesses. (Joint Decl. ¶ 27.) Judge

Infante (Ret.), a well-respected mediator who is well-versed in complex consumer disputes, assisted them during a full-day mediation between capable and experienced class action counsel on both sides. (Id. ¶ 28.)

2. The Extent of Investigation and Discovery Completed Supports the Settlement

The settlement negotiations were driven by the valuable exchange of key information. (Joint Decl. ¶ 90.) To illustrate, Defendant informally provided to Plaintiff an expert-informed analysis of estimated damages for Plaintiff and the putative classes under the theories of liability and other relevant information that allowed the Parties and will ultimately allow the Court to "independently and objectively analyze the evidence and circumstances before it in order to determine whether the settlement is in the best interests of those whose claims will be extinguished." (*Kullar v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc.* (2008) 168 Cal.App.4th 116, 130; (Joint Decl. ¶ 21.) Defendant regularly maintained account-level transaction data allowed for the determination of damages for each Settlement Class member from Defendant's alleged improper assessment of Relevant Fees. (*Id.*) Based on the final analysis of this data, Class Counsel negotiated what they believe is a fair settlement, which allows the Class to receive nearly half of their actual damages. (*Id.* ¶ 22.)

3. Class Counsel is Highly Experienced in Banking Fee Litigation and Supports the Instant Settlement

Experienced Class Counsel have weighed the strengths of the case, examined all of the issues and risks of litigation, and now fully endorse the proposed Settlement as being fair, adequate, and reasonable. (Joint Decl. ¶23.) The view of the attorneys actively conducting the litigation is afforded significant weigh in deciding whether the approve the settlement. (See Kullar, supra, 168 Cal.App.4th at p. 133 [the trial court "may and undoubtedly should continue to place reliance on the competence and integrity of counsel"]). Class Counsel collectively have decades of experience serving as class counsel in hundreds of complex class actions, including a substantial portion dedicated to cases challenging Overdraft Fees and Return Item Fees assessed by financial institutions, and have recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for those classes. (Joint Decl. ¶11.) These qualifications certainly give Class Counsel's evaluation of the Settlement great weight, and

this Court should find that Class counsel's experience lends to the credibility of the Settlement.

4. The Positive Reaction of Class Members Favors Final Approval

A class action settlement may be presumed fair when there are only a small percentage of objectors. (*Wershba, supra,* 91 Cal.App.4th at p. 245 [quoting *Dunk, supra,* 48 Cal.App.4th at p. 1802].) After providing Notice of the proposed Settlement to the Class, and after giving Class Members sufficient opportunity to review the Court's file and the components of the Agreement, no one objects or opted-out. (Notice Decl. ¶ 33.) This nearly uniform response on behalf of absent Settlement Class members indicates their acceptance of the Settlement and further supports that their interests have been adequately protected by the Settlement.

In sum, all factors justify a grant of Final Approval to the Settlement.

V. THE SETTLEMENT CLASS SHOULD BE FINALLY CERTIFIED FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES ONLY

At the Preliminary Approval stage, the Court aptly analyzed the California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 class certification requirements and found the proposed Settlement Class satisfied each requirement. Nothing has changed since this Court entered its Preliminary Approval Order that would affect the Court's granting class certification. As such, for the reasons explained in Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval, and for those stated in the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, the Settlement Class should be finally certified for Settlement purposes.

VI. THE COURT SHOULD GRANT THE REQUESTED ATTORNEYS' FEES

Class Counsel's total attorneys' fees request is \$666,600.00, representing 33.33% of the \$2,000,000.00 Value of the Settlement.³ A plaintiff who obtains a settlement on behalf of absent class members is allowed to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and litigation costs. (*See Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert* (1980) 444 U.S. 472.) Contingency fee litigation such as this is risky and costly, with

³ Case law supports including the value of Defendant's forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees, which is part of the Value of the Settlement, in calculating the percentage fee award. (*See e.g., Farrell v. Bank of America*, N.A. (S.D. Cal. 2018) 327 F.R.D. 422, 431, *aff'd* (9th Cir. 2020) 827 Fed. Appx. 628 [awarding percentage of common fund accounting for cash and debt relief in overdraft fee case]; *Hash v. First Financial Bancorp* (S.D. Ind. Nov. 22, 2021) No. 1:20-cv-0132-RLM-MJD, 2021 WL 12269064, at *3 [same and collecting cases].)

no guarantee of success. Despite this risk, Class Counsel have secured an excellent result in this Action and submit the attorneys' fees requested are reasonable. Although California recognizes two generally-accepted methods for calculating attorneys' fees—the "percentage-of-the-benefit" under the common fund doctrine or the lodestar method—"the ultimate goal" is to award "a reasonable fee to compensate counsel for their efforts, irrespective of the method of calculation." (*Apple Computer, Inc. v. Super. Ct.* (2005) 126 Cal.App.4th 1253, 1270 [citation omitted].)

Respectfully, the fee award sought herein is reasonable under the percentage-of-the-benefit approach and cross-checked with the lodestar approach. Further, the reaction of the Settlement Class to the Settlement terms relating to attorneys' fees and costs must also be recognized. To date, having been notified of the amount Class Counsel would request in the Notice no one has opted-out or objected to the attorneys' fee or cost request. (Notice Decl. at ¶ 33.)

A. The Requested Fee is Reasonable Under the Percentage-of-the-Benefit Approach

The California Supreme Court confirmed that in common fund cases like this one, a trial court may award class counsel a fee by choosing an appropriate percentage of that fund. (*Laffitte v. Robert Half Int'l* (2016) 1 Cal.5th 480, 503.) The Court in *Laffitte* affirmed that a percentage basis award of attorneys' fees may be the primary basis of a trial court's calculation of a fee award. (*See id.* at pp. 503-06.) The Court reasoned "[t]he recognized advantages of the percentage method—including relative ease of calculation, alignment of incentives between counsel and the class, a better approximation of market conditions in a contingency case, and the encouragement it provides counsel to seek an early settlement and avoid unnecessarily prolonging the litigation—convince us the percentage method is a valuable tool that should not be denied in our trial courts." (*Id.*) In so holding, the Supreme Court cited a Third Circuit task force that "recommended courts generally use a percentage-of-the-fund method in common fund cases[.]" (*Id.* at 492.)

Here, as in other common funds cases, Class Counsel should be rewarded for efficiently creating a substantial recovery for the Settlement Class. California encourages attorneys to undertake the risks of time and money necessary to vindicate consumers' rights and the public interest, and to protect the public policies underlying our laws. To enable and encourage such actions

to be tackled by well qualified counsel, California law intentionally provides that attorney fee awards should be equivalent to fees paid in the legal marketplace. (*See Lealao v. Beneficial Cal., Inc.* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 19, 47-50.)

Plaintiff's counsel's 33.33% attorneys' fees request from the Settlement's common monetary benefit is certainly consistent with decades of contingency fee awards in California's legal marketplace. (See Chavez, 162 Cal.App.4th at p. 66 fn.11 ["[e]mpirical studies show that, regardless whether the percentage method or the lodestar method is used, fee awards in class actions average around one-third of the recovery"]; see e.g., Laffitte, supra, 1 Cal.5th at p. 506 [affirming award of one-third of \$19 million settlement amount]; see also Estrada v. Royalty Carpet Mills, Inc. (Cal. Ct. App. Mar. 23, 2022) No. G059681, 2022 WL 855977, at *1 (finding that attorneys' fees are typically one-third of the common fund); Testone v. Barlean's Organic Oils, LLC (S.D. Cal. Mar. 6, 2023) No. 3:19-cv-00169-RBM-BGS, 2023 WL 2375246, at *7 [awarding one-third of \$1.6 million cash fund].) In account fee class actions, one-third fee awards have been approved in dozens of similar settlements, thus establishing this fee rate as that which would likely be negotiated in the private market. Carlin v. DairyAmerica, Inc. (E.D. Cal. 2019) 380 F.Supp.3d 998, 1019 (considering "awards made in similar cases" as a factor in determining reasonableness of attorneys' fees), Joint Decl. ¶ 44.

To further ensure that the requested percentage is reasonable, the California Supreme Court acknowledged that courts may consider factors such as "the risks and potential value of the litigation;" the "contingency, novelty, and difficulty" of the case; and "the skill shown by counsel, the number of hours worked, and the asserted hourly rates." (*Laffitte, supra,* 1 Cal.5th at p. 504.) All considerations support Class Counsel's requested fee award.

Class Counsel was able to secure an exceptional settlement with a total monetary value of \$2,000,000.00—a \$1,872,814.00 Settlement Fund and forgiveness of \$127,186.00 in Uncollected Relevant Fees—representing 46% of Settlement Class Members' best-case damages in Relevant Fees during the Class Period. This percentage of recovery is fair and reasonable in light of the significant risks and challenges inherent in the litigation. For instance, Defendant disputed that APPSN Fees and Retry Fees breached the contract or violated the UCL, and further argued these

claims are federally preempted. (Joint Decl. ¶ 34.) To Plaintiff's knowledge, cases pursuing these liability theories have not yet been successfully tried to judgment. (*Id.* ¶ 35.) As such, establishing liability is a complex and challenging undertaking, especially in light of the novel nature of these claims and the lack of guiding precedent. Indeed, Plaintiff would still need to survive additional forthcoming motion practice, such as the pending demurrer, summary judgment, motions challenging experts, class certification, and any possible appeals. (*Id.* ¶ 36.) Thus, the substantial recovery now compared to the risks and complexity of protracted litigation means Class Counsel's fee request is reasonable.

Further, Class Counsel took this matter on a full contingency basis, for which they would have recovered nothing if they had not prevailed in the matter. (*Id.* ¶ 37.) Counsel have litigated this case for a substantial length of time and have received no payment of their work to date. (*Id.*) The risks inherent in class action work, especially when undertaken in the public interests, justifies the reasonableness of the requested fee. Class action attorneys "must be provided incentives roughly comparable to those negotiated in the private bargaining that takes place in the legal marketplace, as it will otherwise be economic for defendants to increase injurious behavior." (*Lealao v. Beneficial California, Inc.* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 19, 46–48.)

Next, KalielGold PLLC and Kopelowitz Ostrow P.A. are both well-respected and experienced class action firms, with substantial experience particularly in consumer account fee class action litigation. (*See supra*.) It is unquestionable that these Class Counsel firms have regularly achieved exceptional results for settlement classes and have routinely been appointed class counsel in dozens of cases across the country. (*See* Joint Decl. ¶ 38.)

Lastly, Class Counsel's hours worked are reasonable, as they were "expended in pursuit of the ultimate result achieved in the same manner that an attorney traditionally is compensated by a fee-paying client." (*Hensley v. Eckerhart* (1983) 461 U.S. 424, 431 [citation omitted].) Class Counsel expended approximately 313.25 hours performing necessary work on behalf of the Settlement Class: investigating and gathering evidence supporting the claims resolved by the Settlement; drafting the complaints; conferring with the Class Representative; regularly researching critical legal issues; successfully pursuing the motion to remand and analyzing the arguments in the

pending demurrer; preparing for mediation including by drafting a comprehensive mediation statement; drafting written discovery requests and reviewing document productions; attending a full-day mediation; negotiating and drafting the Agreement with Defendant's Counsel; moving for and obtaining Preliminary Approval; overseeing the Settlement Administrator's efforts to provide Notice to the Settlement Class; and preparing this Motion. (*Id.* ¶ 41.) Additional work will be required prior to and after Final Approval is granted to aid the Settlement Administrator in implementing the Settlement. (*Id.* ¶ 42.) "It is not necessary to provide detailed billing timesheets to support an award of attorney fees. . . . Declarations of counsel setting forth the reasonable hourly rate, the number of hours worked and the tasks performed are sufficient." (*Concepcion v. Amscan Holdings, Inc.* (2014) 223 Cal.App.4th 1309, 1324 [citing *Wershba, supra, 91* Cal.App.4th at pp. 254-55].)⁴ As discussed *infra*, Class Counsel's hourly rates are also reasonable, as they reflect the reasonable market value of their legal services, based on their experience and expertise. (*See Ketchum v. Moses* (2001) 24 Cal.4th 1122, 1139.) "The reasonable hourly rate is that prevailing in the community for similar work." (*PLCM Group v. Drexler* (2000) 22 Cal.4th 1084, 1095.)

In short, Class Counsel in this matter were qualified to pursue the claims now before this Court. It is respectfully submitted that their experience and ongoing quality of representation, coupled with a demonstrated willingness to bring these cases to certification and then on to trial, was instrumental in enabling the Class to obtain a very favorable result, under the circumstances presented by this case. Class Counsel's representation of the Plaintiffs and Class has been wholly contingent. The combined efforts have resulted in a substantial settlement for the benefit of the Class.

B. The Requested Fee is Also Reasonable When Cross-Checked Under the Lodestar Approach

The requested attorneys' fees are also reasonable, fair, and appropriate when cross-checked under the lodestar approach. While the Court need not, but has discretion to, conduct a lodestar

⁴ Class Counsel has maintained detailed billing records of time expended in the Action and will readily make these records available *in camera* in the event the Court seeks to review them.

cross-check. (*Laffitte, supra*, 1 Cal.5th at p. 506 [trial courts "retain the discretion to forgo a lodestar cross-check"]; see also id. at 505 [lodestar "does not override the trial court's primary determination of the fee as a percentage of the common fund and thus does not impose an absolute maximum or minimum on the potential fee award."].) The lodestar method looks to the number of hours reasonably expended multiplied by the reasonable hourly rate, and then increasing or decreasing that amount by a positive or negative multiplier. (*Id.* at p. 505.) The court may then enhance the lodestar with a multiplier, if appropriate. *Wershba*, 91 Cal.App.4th at 254–255. The multiplier accounts for "a variety of other factors, including the quality of the representation, the novelty and complexity of the issues, the results obtained, and the contingent risk presented." *Lealao*, 82 Cal.App.4th at 26. "Multipliers can range from 2 to 4 or even higher." *Wershba*, 91 Cal.App.4th at 255.

Plaintiff's Counsel lodestar is \$248,064.80, resulting in a multiplier of 2.69. (Joint Decl. ¶ 42.) That multiplier is within California's accepted range. See Glendora Community Redevelopment Agency v. Demeter (1984) 155 Cal.App.3d 465 (affirming a fee award that included a 12.0 multiplier); Craft v. County of San Bernardino (C.D. Cal. 2008) 624 F.Supp.2d 1113, 1125 (awarding a common fund fee award amounting to a 5.2 multiplier); Vizcaino v. Microsoft Corp. (9th Cir. 2002) 290 F.3d 1043, 1051 (approving a 3.65 multiplier); Van Vranken v. Atlantic Richfield Co. (N.D. Cal. 1995) 901 F. Supp. 294, 298-299 (approving multiplier of 3.6); Chavez v. Netflix, Inc. (2008) 162 Cal.App.4th 43, 66 (upholding 2.5 multiplier). Thus, the lodestar cross-check further confirms Class Counsel's requested fee is appropriate and warranted.

Class Counsel has certified their hours and rates in prosecuting this action. Joint Decl. ¶ 44. Class Counsel's hourly rates are also reasonable, as they reflect the reasonable market value of their legal services, based on their experience and expertise. (*See Ketchum v. Moses* (2001) 24 Cal.4th 1122, 1139.) "The reasonable hourly rate is that prevailing in the community for similar work." (*PLCM Group v. Drexler* (2000) 22 Cal.4th 1084, 1095.) The trial court may also "find hourly rates reasonable based on evidence of other courts approving similar rates." (*Parkinson v. Hyundai Motor America* (C.D. Cal. 2010) 796 F.Supp.2d 1160, 1172.) Class Counsel have calculated their lodestar using the Adjusted Laffey Matrix, which has been approved by multiple courts in California and are

1 | co 2 | Sta 3 | CJ 4 | (N 5 | an 6 | rat 7 | 69 8 | the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

consistent with the current prevailing billing or "market" rates in the California market. *Wang v. StubHub, Inc.*, No. CGC-18-564120 (Cal. Super. Ct. S.F. Cty. Aug. 8, 2022); *Lash Boost Cases* No. CJC-18-004981 (Cal. Super. Ct. S.F. Cty. Sept. 28, 2022); *Stathakos v. Columbia Sportswear Co.* (N.D. Cal. Apr. 9, 2018) No. 15-CV-04543-YGR, 2018 WL 1710075, at *6 (approving these rates and stating "several courts in this district have approved hourly rates equal to or greater than the rates at issue here in similar cases."); *Syers Properties III, Inc. v. Rankin* (2014), 226 Cal.App.4th 691, 702 (finding hourly rates reasonable where rates were virtually identical to those calculated in the Laffey Matrix as adjusted for that region).⁵

VII. CLASS COUNSEL IS ENTITLED TO REIMBURSEMENT OF THEIR COSTS

Class Counsel also respectfully requests an award of \$11,736.19 in reasonable and necessary litigation costs expended for the Settlement Class. (Agreement ¶ 84.a.; Joint Decl. ¶ 51.) These costs are attributable to filing and court fees and mediation, all compensable pursuant to C.C.P. § 1033.5(a) and (c)(4). (See also Serrano v. Priest (1977) 20 Cal.3d 25, 35 [noting it is "grounded in 'the historic power of equity to permit. . . a party preserving or recovering a fund for the benefit of others . . . to recover his costs, including his attorneys' fees, from the fund . . . itself'[Citation].") The requested costs amount is relatively low for class litigation given its complexity and lower than the estimated amount in the Notices. (Joint Decl., ¶ 52.) The costs and expenses were also an important factor in bringing this matter to a successful conclusion. (Id.)

In addition, the Court should approve the payment of the Settlement Administration Costs up to \$129,450 in order to reimburse the Settlement Administrator for its efforts in connection with disseminating Notice to the Settlement Class and administering the Settlement. (Agreement ¶ 84.c.)

24

25

26

27

28

²²²³

⁵ See, e.g., In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Practices, and Prod. Liab. Litig., MDL No. 2672 CRB (JSC), 2017 WL 1047834, at *5 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 17, 2017) (approving rates ranging from \$275 to \$1,600 for partners, \$150 to \$790 for associates, and \$80 to \$490 for paralegals); Wit v. United Behavioral Health, No. 14-cv-02346-JCS, 2022 WL 45057, at *7 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 5, 2022) (approving rates ranging from \$625 to \$1,145 for partners and counsel, \$425 to \$650 for associates, \$300-\$370 for paralegals); Bickley v. CenturyLink, Inc. (C.D. Cal. Nov. 29, 2016) No. CV 15-1014-JGB (ASX), 2016 WL 9046911, at *4 (a billing survey was submitted from 2013 listing the rates for partners and associates from large law firms, noting that those rates ranged from a low of \$515/per hour for associates to \$1,220/per hour for partners.) see also Banas v. Volcano Corp. (N.D. Cal. 2014) 47 F.Supp.3d, 965 (approving 2014 rate of \$1,095).

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

These fees and costs were necessary to complete the Notice Program and will be reasonably expended to distribute the Net Settlement Fund. (Id. ¶ 34.) These costs and fees are in line with Class Counsel's experience for this type of settlement. (Id. ¶ 34.)

VIII. AN INCENTIVE AWARD TO PLAINTIFF IS REASONABLE AND APPROPRIATE

The Court should also approve a reasonable \$5,000.00 Incentive Award to Plaintiff for serving as the Class Representative. In approving an incentive award, a court may consider: "1) the risk to the class representative in commencing suit, both financial and otherwise; 2) the notoriety and personal difficulties encountered by the class representative; 3) the amount of time and effort spent by the class representative; 4) the duration of the litigation; and 5) the personal benefit (or lack thereof) enjoyed by the class representative as a result of the litigation. [Citation]." (Cellphone Termination Fee Cases (2010) 186 Cal. App. 4th 1380, 1394-95 [citation omitted].) Courts routinely grant incentive awards in similar amounts. (See e.g., Munoz v. BCI Coca-Cola Bottling Co. of Los Angeles (2010) 186 Cal.App.4th 399, 412 [affirming \$5,000 service awards were reasonable]; Dennis v. Kellogg Co. (S.D. Cal. Nov. 14, 2013) No. 09CV1786-L (WMc), 2013 WL 6055326, at *9 [noting request for a \$5,000 service payment in consumer class action settlement "is well within if not below the range awarded in similar cases"].) Plaintiff's requested Incentive Award is within the range of those granted in similar banking overdraft fee class action settlements. (See e.g., Lloyd v. Navy Fed. Credit Union (S.D. Cal. May 28, 2019) No. 17-cv-1280-BAS-RBB, 2019 WL 2269958, at *15 [awarding \$5,000 to each class representative as being "presumptively reasonable"].)

Here, Plaintiff has taken every necessary action to protect the interests of the Settlement Class, has undertaken reputational risks, provided substantial, tangible benefits to all Settlement Class Members, and was essential to the success of the litigation and to securing a favorable Settlement. Among other things, Plaintiff provided essential information for the prosecution of this action and in connection with negotiations and settlement, gathered and provided pertinent documents, took time to participate in phone calls with counsel, and reviewed the Settlement documents. At no time did Plaintiff ever have a guarantee of any personal benefit as a result of this Action. (Joint Decl. ¶ 62.) Moreover, by filing a class action for the alleged breaches of contract for

The Settlement reached in this consumer class action is exceptionally beneficial to Settlement Class and will efficiently resolve what would otherwise be protracted and unconstituted in the Settlement Class and will efficiently requests that the Court grant Final Approval of Settlement. Further, Plaintiff and Class Counsel respectfully request the Court grant Class Courrequest for \$666,600.00 in attorneys' fees, \$11,736.19 in litigation costs and expenses, a \$5,000 Incentive Award for Plaintiff, and up to \$129,450 in Settlement Administration Costs. By: Sophia Goren Gold Jeffrey D. Kaliel Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class	1
Settlement Class and will efficiently resolve what would otherwise be protracted and unce litigation. Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant Final Approval of Settlement. Further, Plaintiff and Class Counsel respectfully request the Court grant Class Court request for \$666,600.00 in attorneys' fees, \$11,736.19 in litigation costs and expenses, a \$5,00 Incentive Award for Plaintiff, and up to \$129,450 in Settlement Administration Costs. Dated: May 28, 2024 Respectfully submitted, KALIELGOLD PLLC By: April Mark July Sophia Goren Gold Jeffrey D. Kaliel Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class	2
litigation. Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant Final Approval of Settlement. Further, Plaintiff and Class Counsel respectfully request the Court grant Class Cour request for \$666,600.00 in attorneys' fees, \$11,736.19 in litigation costs and expenses, a \$5,00 Incentive Award for Plaintiff, and up to \$129,450 in Settlement Administration Costs. Dated: May 28, 2024 Respectfully submitted, KALIELGOLD PLLC By: Sophia Goren Gold Jeffrey D. Kaliel Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class	3
Settlement. Further, Plaintiff and Class Counsel respectfully request the Court grant Class Court request for \$666,600.00 in attorneys' fees, \$11,736.19 in litigation costs and expenses, a \$5,00 Incentive Award for Plaintiff, and up to \$129,450 in Settlement Administration Costs. Dated: May 28, 2024 Respectfully submitted, KALIELGOLD PLLC By: Agrical Horizon Gold Jeffrey D. Kaliel Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class	4
request for \$666,600.00 in attorneys' fees, \$11,736.19 in litigation costs and expenses, a \$5,00 Incentive Award for Plaintiff, and up to \$129,450 in Settlement Administration Costs. Dated: May 28, 2024 Respectfully submitted, KALIELGOLD PLLC By: Sophia Goren Gold Jeffrey D. Kaliel Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class	5
Incentive Award for Plaintiff, and up to \$129,450 in Settlement Administration Costs. Dated: May 28, 2024 Respectfully submitted, KALIELGOLD PLLC By: April First Sophia Goren Gold Jeffrey D. Kaliel Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class	6
Pated: May 28, 2024 Respectfully submitted, KALIELGOLD PLLC By: Sophia Goren Gold Jeffrey D. Kaliel Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class	7
KALIELGOLD PLLC By: April Free Fold Sophia Goren Gold Jeffrey D. Kaliel Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	8
By: Sophia Goren Gold Jeffrey D. Kaliel Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class	9
Sophia Goren Gold Jeffrey D. Kaliel Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	0
Sophia Goren Gold Jeffrey D. Kaliel Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	. 1
Counsel for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class	2
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	.3
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	4
17 18 19 20 21 22	5
18 19 20 21 22	6
19 20 21 22	.7
20 21 22	8
21 22	
22	
$23 \parallel$	
24	
25	
26	
27 28 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 28	

EXHIBIT A

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

Elaine Ward-Howie v. Frontwave Credit Union

Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Diego

Case No. 37-2022-00016328-CU-BC-CTL

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement" or "Agreement")¹ is entered into by Plaintiff Elaine Ward-Howie, individually and on behalf of the Settlement Class, on the one hand, and Defendant Frontwave Credit Union, on the other hand, as of the last date executed below. The Parties hereby agree to the following terms in full settlement of the action entitled *Elaine Ward-Howie v. Frontwave Credit Union*, No. 37-2022-00016328-CU-BC-CTL, subject to Final Approval, as defined below, by the San Diego County Superior Court for the State of California.

I. Procedural History and Recitals

- 1. On April 29, 2022, Plaintiff filed a putative class action Complaint in the San Diego County Superior Court for the State of California, entitled *Elaine Ward-Howie v. Frontwave Credit Union*. Plaintiff asserted two claims for relief: (1) breach of contract, including breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing; and (2) violation of California's Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq.*).
- 2. On June 17, 2022, Defendant removed the action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. Plaintiff filed a motion to remand on July 7, 2022, which was granted on August 17, 2022.
 - 3. On September 16, 2022, Defendant filed a demurrer to the Complaint.
- 4. On January 4, 2023, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint, in which Plaintiff asserts two claims for relief for: (1) breach of contract, including breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing; and (2) violation of California's Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*). Both claims are based on the theories that Defendant allegedly improperly assesses APPSN Fees and Retry Fees.

-

All capitalized terms herein have the meanings ascribed to them in Section II below or various other places in the Agreement.

- 5. On February 21, 2023, Defendant filed a demurrer to the Amended Complaint. The Court has not ruled on Defendant's demurrer.
- 6. The Parties participated in a private mediation session before the Honorable Edward A. Infante, Ret. on June 27, 2023. In advance of mediation, the Parties engaged in informal discovery, including obtaining an analysis of Defendant's potential damages exposure for both APPSN Fees and Retry Fees. During the mediation, the Parties were presented with a mediator's proposal. This Settlement is based on the Parties' acceptance of the mediator's proposal.
 - 7. The Parties now agree to settle the Action in its entirety.

NOW, THEREFORE, in light of the foregoing, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby mutually acknowledged, the Parties agree, subject to approval by the Court, as follows.

II. <u>Definitions</u>

In addition to the terms defined at various points within this Agreement, the following Defined Terms apply throughout this Agreement:

- 8. "Account" means any checking account maintained by Defendant.
- 9. "Accountholder" means any person who has or had any interest, whether legal or equitable, in an Account during the Class Periods.
- 10. "Action" means *Elaine Ward-Howie v. Frontwave Credit Union*, No. 37-2022-00016328-CU-BC-CTL.
- 11. "Amended Complaint" means the Amended Class Action Complaint filed in this Action on January 4, 2023.
- 12. "Application for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Incentive Award" means the application to be submitted to the Court by Class Counsel, as part of the Motion for Final Approval,

requesting an award of attorneys' fees for Class Counsel, reimbursement of litigation costs incurred by Class Counsel, and an Incentive Award for the Class Representative.

- 13. "APPSN Fees" means Overdraft Fees that Defendant charged and did not refund on signature Point of Sale Debit Card transactions during the APPSN Fee Class Period, where there was a sufficient available balance at the time the transaction was authorized, but an insufficient available balance at the time the transaction was presented to Defendant for payment and posted to a member's Account.
- 14. "APPSN Fee Class" means those current or former members of Defendant who were assessed APPSN Fees.
- 15. "APPSN Fee Class Period" means the period from April 29, 2018 through June 30, 2022.
 - 16. "Class Counsel" means:

KALIEL GOLD PLLC Sophia Goren Gold 950 Gilman Street, Suite 200 Berkeley, California 94710

KALIEL GOLD PLLC Jeffrey D. Kaliel 1100 15th Street NW 4th Floor Washington, D.C. 20005

KOPELOWITZ OSTROW P.A. Jeff Ostrow 1 West Las Olas Blvd., Suite 500 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

- 17. "Class Periods" means the APPSN Fee Class Period and the Retry Fee Class Period.
- 18. "Class Representative" means Elaine Ward-Howie.
- 19. "Complaint" means the Complaint filed in this action on April 29, 2022.
- 20. "Court" means the Superior Court in and for San Diego County, California.

- 21. "Current Accountholder" means a Settlement Class Member who is a member of Defendant as of the date that the Net Settlement Fund is distributed to Settlement Class Members pursuant to this Agreement.
 - 22. "Defendant" means Frontwave Credit Union.
 - 23. "Defendant's Counsel" means Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP.
- 24. "Effective Date" means the later of: (1) 10 days after the time period has expired to appeal the judgment entered after the entry of the Final Approval Order without any appeal or motion to vacate judgment being filed; or (2) if an appeal of the judgment entered after the entry of Final Approval Order is taken, then the earlier of 10 days after the entry of an order dismissing the appeal or 10 days after the appeal has been finally resolved in the appellate court of last resort without any right to appeal or seek further review from another appellate court.
- 25. "Email Notice" means a short form of notice that shall be sent by email to Settlement Class members who agreed to receive notices from Defendant by email in the form attached as *Exhibit 1*.
- 26. "Final Approval" means the date that the Court enters the Final Approval Order granting final approval to the Settlement and determines the amount of attorneys' fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel and the amount of any Incentive Award to the Class Representative.
- 27. "Final Approval Hearing" is the hearing held before the Court wherein the Court will consider granting Final Approval to the Settlement and further determine the amount of attorneys' fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel and the amount of any Incentive Award to the Class Representative.
- 28. "Final Approval Order" means the final order that the Court enters granting Final Approval to the Settlement. The proposed Final Approval Order shall be in a form agreed upon by

the Parties and shall be substantially in the form attached as an exhibit to the Motion for Final Approval. Final Approval Order also includes the orders, which may be entered separately, determining the amount of attorneys' fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel and the amount of any Incentive Award to the Class Representative.

- 29. "Incentive Award" means any Court-ordered payment to Plaintiff for serving as the Class Representative, which is in addition to any payment due to Plaintiff as a Settlement Class Member or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees.
- 30. "Long Form Notice" means the form of notice that shall be posted on the Settlement Website created by the Settlement Administrator and shall be available to Settlement Class members by mail on request made to the Settlement Administrator, in the form attached as *Exhibit* 2.
- 31. "Motion for Final Approval" means the motion requesting the Court grant Final Approval to the Settlement pursuant to Cal. Ct. R. 3.769.
- 32. "Net Settlement Fund" means the Settlement Fund, minus Court-approved attorneys' fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel, any Settlement Administration Costs, and any Court-approved Incentive Award to the Class Representative.
- 33. "Notice" means the Email Notice, Long Form Notice, and Postcard Notice that the Parties will ask the Court to approve in connection with the motion for Preliminary Approval of the Settlement.
- 34. "Notice Program" means the methods provided for in this Agreement for giving the Notice and consists of Email Notice, Postcard Notice, and Long Form Notice, which shall be substantially in the forms as the exhibits attached to this Agreement.
 - 35. "Objection Period" means the period that begins the day after the earliest date on

which the Notice is first distributed, and that ends no later than 30 days before the original date scheduled for the Final Approval Hearing. The deadline for the Objection Period will be specified in the Notice.

- 36. "Opt-Out Period" means the period that begins the day after the earliest date on which the Notice is first distributed, and that ends no later than 30 days before the original date scheduled for the Final Approval Hearing. The deadline for the Opt-Out Period shall be specified in the Notice.
- 37. "Overdraft Fee" means any fee or fees assessed to an Accountholder for items paid when the Account had insufficient funds.
- 38. "Party" means Plaintiff or Defendant and "Parties" means Plaintiff and Defendant together.
- 39. "Past Accountholder" means a Settlement Class Member who is not a member of Defendant as of the date that the Net Settlement Fund is distributed to Settlement Class Members pursuant to this Agreement.
 - 40. "Plaintiff" means Elaine Ward-Howie.
- 41. "Postcard Notice" shall mean the short form of notice that shall be sent by mail to Settlement Class members who did not agree to receive notices from Defendant by email, or for whom the Settlement Administrator is unable to send Email Notice using the email address provided by Defendant, in the form attached as *Exhibit 1*.
- 42. "Preliminary Approval" means the date that the Court enters, without material change, an order preliminarily approving the Settlement, substantially in the form of the exhibit attached to the motion for Preliminary Approval.
 - 43. "Preliminary Approval Order" means the order granting Preliminary Approval of

this Settlement.

- 44. "Releases" means all the releases contained in Section XII.
- 45. "Released Claims" means any and all liabilities, rights, claims, actions, causes of action, demands, damages, costs, attorneys' fees, losses and remedies, whether known or unknown, existing or potential, suspected or unsuspected, liquidated or unliquidated, legal, statutory, or equitable, based on contract, tort or any other theory, that arise from or relate to Defendant's assessment of APPSN Fees or Retry Fees, and claims that were asserted or could possibly have been asserted in the Action relating to Defendant's assessment of APPSN Fees or Retry Fees.
- 46. "Released Parties" means Defendant and each of its present and former parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, predecessors, successors and assigns, and the present and former directors, officers, employees, agents, insurers, members, attorneys, advisors, consultants, representatives, partners, joint venturers, independent contractors, wholesalers, resellers, distributors, retailers, predecessors, successors.
- 47. "Releasing Parties" means Plaintiff and all Settlement Class Members, and each of their respective executors, representatives, heirs, predecessors, assigns, beneficiaries, successors, bankruptcy trustees, guardians, joint tenants, tenants in common, tenants by entireties, agents, attorneys, and all those who claim through them or on their behalf.
 - 48. "Relevant Fees" means APPSN Fees and Retry Fees.
- 49. "Retry Fees" shall mean Returned Item Fees and Overdraft Fees that were charged and not refunded during the Retry Fee Class Period, for Automated Clearing House (ACH) and check transactions that were re-submitted by a merchant after being returned by Defendant for insufficient funds.

- 50. "Retry Fee Class" shall mean those current or former members of Defendant who were assessed Retry Fees.
- 51. "Retry Fee Class Period" means the period from January 4, 2019 through June 30, 2022.
- 52. "Returned Item Fee" means any non-sufficient funds fee or fees assessed to an Accountholder for transactions for check or ACH payment transactions returned when the Account has insufficient funds.
 - 53. "Settlement Administrator" means Epiq Class Action & Claims Solutions, Inc.
- 54. "Settlement Administration Costs" means all costs and fees of the Settlement Administrator regarding notice and settlement administration.
- 55. "Settlement Class" means Defendant's Accountholders with one or more Accounts, who were charged a Relevant Fee during the Class Periods. Excluded from the Settlement Class is Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers and directors; all Settlement Class members who make a timely election to be excluded; and all judges assigned to this litigation and their immediate family members.
- 56. "Settlement Class Member" means any member of the Settlement Class who has not opted-out of the Settlement and who is entitled to the benefits of the Settlement, including a Settlement Class Member Payment and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees.
- 57. "Settlement Class Member Payment" means the cash distribution that will be made from the Net Settlement Fund to each Settlement Class Member, pursuant to the allocation terms of the Settlement.
- 58. "Settlement Fund" means the \$1,872,814.00 common cash fund Defendant is obligated to pay under the terms of this Settlement, allocated \$1,470,159.00 for APPSN Fees and

\$402,655.00 for Retry Fees. The Settlement Fund shall be paid into an account established by the Settlement Administrator within 10 days after the Effective Date, less the total amount that will be credited to Settlement Class Members by Defendant, as provided in Paragraph 84.d.iii. below.

- 59. "Settlement Website" means the website that the Settlement Administrator will establish as a means for Settlement Class members to obtain notice of and information about the Settlement, through and including hyperlinked access to this Agreement, the Long Form Notice, Preliminary Approval Order, and such other documents as the Parties agree to post or that the Court orders posted on the website. These documents shall remain on the Settlement Website for at least six months after Final Approval. The URL of the Settlement Website shall be www.fcufeesettlement.com, or such other URL as Class Counsel and Defendant agree upon in writing. The Settlement Website shall not include any advertising and shall not bear or include the Defendant's logo or Defendant's trademarks.
- 60. "Uncollected Relevant Fees" means any Relevant Fees that were assessed but were not paid when an Account was closed and the Relevant Fees charged off, which is calculated to be \$127,186.00.
- 61. "Value of the Settlement" means the Settlement Fund plus the Uncollected Relevant Fees.

III. <u>Certification of the Settlement Class</u>

62. Plaintiff shall propose and recommend to the Court that the Settlement Class be certified under and Cal. R. Ct. 3.769(d). Defendant agrees solely for purposes of the Settlement provided for in this Agreement, and the implementation of such Settlement, that this case shall proceed as a class action; provided, however, that if a Final Approval Order is not issued, then Defendant shall retain all rights to object to maintaining this case as a class action. Plaintiff and

Class Counsel shall not reference this Agreement in support of any subsequent motion relating to certification of a liability class.

IV. Settlement Consideration

- 63. Within 10 days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall transfer to the Settlement Administrator the Settlement Fund, less the total amount that will be credited to Settlement Class Members by Defendant, as provided in Paragraph 84.d.iii., below. The Settlement Fund shall be used to pay Settlement Class Members their respective Settlement Class Member Payments; any and all attorneys' fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel; any Incentive Award to the Class Representative; and all Settlement Administration Costs. Defendant shall not be responsible for any other payments under this Agreement.
- 64. Within 10 days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall forgive, waive, and agree not to collect from Settlement Class Members all Uncollected Relevant Fees as defined above.
- 65. For avoidance of doubt, it is agreed by the Parties that a Settlement Class Member may qualify for a Settlement Class Member Payment and forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees by virtue of having paid one or more Relevant Fees and having been assessed at least one other Relevant Fee that became an Uncollected Relevant Fee.

V. <u>Settlement Approval</u>

66. Upon execution of this Agreement by all Parties, Class Counsel shall promptly move the Court for a Preliminary Approval Order. The proposed Preliminary Approval Order shall be attached to the motion, or otherwise filed with the Court, and shall be in a form agreed to by Class Counsel and Defendant. The motion for Preliminary Approval shall, among other things, request that the Court: (a) preliminarily approve the terms of the Settlement as being within the range of fair, adequate, and reasonable; (b) provisionally certify the Settlement Class pursuant to

Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 382, for settlement purposes only; (c) approve the Notice Program set forth herein and approve the form and content of the Notices of the Settlement; (d) approve the procedures set forth herein for Settlement Class members to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class or for Settlement Class Members to object to the Settlement; (e) stay the Action pending Final Approval of the Settlement; and (f) schedule a Final Approval Hearing for a time and date mutually convenient for the Court, Class Counsel, and counsel for Defendant, at which the Court will conduct an inquiry into the fairness of the Settlement, determine whether it was made in good faith, and determine whether to approve the Settlement and the Application for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Incentive Award to the Class Representative.

VI. <u>Discovery and Settlement Data</u>

67. Class Counsel and Defendant have engaged in certain informal discovery related to liability and damages. Additionally, for purposes of effectuating the Settlement, Defendant made available to Class Counsel damages analyses based on analyses of fee data by Defendant's damages consultant, which the Parties have agreed represent the APPSN Fees and Retry Fees for the Class Periods. From its analysis of the fee data, Defendant's damages consultant determined the Accountholders in the Settlement Class and ultimately the amount of each Settlement Class member's Relevant Fees and Uncollected Relevant Fees. Defendant's damages consultant has worked with Defendant to create a list of Settlement Class members, which includes, to the extent available, the names of the Settlement Class members, their Account numbers, and their electronic mail and postal addresses. This list will be provided to the Settlement Administrator for the Notice Program and to use in distributing Settlement Class Member Payments.

VII. Settlement Administrator

68. The Settlement Administrator shall administer various aspects of the Settlement as

described in the next paragraph and perform such other functions as are specified for the Settlement Administrator elsewhere in this Agreement, including, but not limited to, effectuating the Notice Program and distributing the Settlement Fund as provided herein.

- 69. The duties of the Settlement Administrator are as follows:
- a. Use the name and address information for Settlement Class members provided by Defendant in connection with the Notice Program approved by the Court, for the purpose of distributing the Postcard Notice and Email Notice, and later mailing Settlement Class Member Payments to Past Accountholder Settlement Class Members and to Current Accountholder Settlement Class Members where it is not feasible or reasonable for Defendant to make the Settlement Class Member Payments by a credit to the Current Accountholder Settlement Class Members' Accounts;
- b. Establish and maintain a post office address for requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class;
 - c. Establish and maintain the Settlement Website;
- d. Establish and maintain an automated toll-free telephone line for Settlement Class members to call with Settlement-related inquiries, and answer the frequently asked questions of Settlement Class members who call with or otherwise communicate such inquiries;
 - e. Respond to any mailed Settlement Class member inquiries;
 - f. Process all opt-out requests from the Settlement Class;
- g. Provide weekly reports to Class Counsel and Defendant that summarize: (1) the status of the Notice Program; (2) the number of requests for exclusion and objections received; (3) the number and aggregate amount of checks cashed; and (4) any residual funds.
 - h. In advance of the Final Approval Hearing, prepare a declaration or affidavit to

submit to the Court confirming that the Notice Program was completed, describing how the Notice Program was completed, providing the names of each Settlement Class member who timely and properly opted-out from the Settlement Class, the names of Settlement Class Members who timely objected to the Settlement and any materials provided with the objections; and other information as may be necessary to allow the Parties to seek and obtain Final Approval.

- i. Distribute Settlement Class Member Payments by check to Past Accountholder Settlement Class Members and Current Accountholder Settlement Class Members who are unable to receive credits:
- j. Provide to Defendant the amount of the Settlement Class Member Payments to Current Accountholder Settlement Class Members and instruct Defendant to initiate the direct deposit or credit of Settlement Class Member Payments to Current Accountholder Settlement Class Members, and to forgive Uncollected Relevant Fees.
- k. Pay invoices, expenses, and costs upon approval by Class Counsel and Defendant, as provided in this Agreement; and
- l. Any other Settlement Administration function at the instruction of Class Counsel and Defendant, including, but not limited to, verifying that the Settlement Funds have been distributed and distributing the any Residual Funds to the Court-approved *cy pres* recipient.
- 70. The Settlement Administrator provided a reasonable estimated bid to administer the Notice Program and otherwise perform the duties of Settlement Administrator required by this Agreement. The reasonableness of the bid accounts for the direct costs associated with the Notice Program and the later distribution of Settlement Class Member Payments following entry of the Final Approval Order, and the hourly rates for the work of the Settlement Administrator to perform the tasks required by this Agreement are competitively priced. The Settlement Administrator has

procedures in place to protect the security of class data and adequate insurance in the event of a data breach or defalcation of funds.

71. Settlement Class Counsel and Defendant may, by agreement, substitute a different organization as Settlement Administrator, subject to approval by the Court if the Court has previously approved the Settlement preliminarily or finally. In the absence of agreement, either Class Counsel or Defendant may move the Court to substitute a different organization as Settlement Administrator, upon a showing that the responsibilities of Settlement Administrator have not been adequately executed by the incumbent.

VIII. Notice to Settlement Class Members

72. Beginning no later than 60 days following entry of the Preliminary Approval Order or by the date ordered by the Court, the Settlement Administrator shall initiate the Notice Program provided herein, using the forms of Notice approved by the Court. The Notice shall include, among other information: a description of the material terms of the Settlement; a date by which Settlement Class members may exclude themselves from or "opt-out" of the Settlement Class; a date by which Settlement Class members may object to the Settlement and/or to Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and costs and/or the Incentive Award for the Class Representative; the date upon which the Final Approval Hearing is originally scheduled to occur; and the address of the Settlement Website at which Settlement Class members may access this Agreement and other related documents and information. Class Counsel and Defendant shall insert the correct dates and deadlines in the Notice before the Notice Program commences, based upon those dates and deadlines set by the Court in the Preliminary Approval Order. Notices provided under or as part of the Notice Program shall not bear or include Defendant's logo or trademarks or the return address of Defendant, or otherwise be styled to appear to originate from Defendant. The Long

Form Notice will be translated to Spanish language and a Spanish language notation will be made on the Postcard Notice and Email Notice regarding the available translated Long Form Notice. Within a reasonable time before initiating the Email Notice and Postcard Notice, the Settlement Administrator shall establish the Settlement Website.

- 73. The Long Form Notice also shall include a procedure for Settlement Class members to opt-out of the Settlement Class, and the Email Notice and Postcard Notice shall direct Settlement Class members to review the Long Form Notice to obtain the instructions. A Settlement Class member may opt-out of the Settlement Class at any time during the Opt-Out Period by mailing an opt-out request to the Settlement Administrator postmarked no later than the last day of the Opt-Out Period. The opt-out request must state the Settlement Class member's name, the last four digits of the member number(s), address, telephone number, and email address (if any), and include a statement indicating a request to be excluded from the Settlement Class. Any Settlement Class member who does not timely and validly request to opt-out shall be bound by the terms of this Agreement. If an Account has more than one Accountholder, and if one Accountholder excludes himself or herself from the Settlement Class, then all Accountholders on that Account shall be deemed to have opted-out of the Settlement with respect to that Account, and no Accountholder shall be entitled to a payment under the Settlement.
- 74. The Long Form Notice also shall include a procedure for Settlement Class members to object in writing to the Settlement and/or to Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and costs and/or the Incentive Award for the Class Representative, and the Email Notice and Postcard Notice shall direct Settlement Class members to review the Long Form Notice to obtain the instructions. Objections to the Settlement, to the application for attorneys' fees and costs, and/or to the Incentive Award must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator and not filed with the

Court. For an objection to be considered by the Court, the objection must be submitted no later than the last day of the Objection Period, as specified in the Notice. If submitted by mail, an objection shall be deemed to have been submitted when posted if received with a postmark date indicated on the envelope if mailed first-class postage prepaid and addressed in accordance with the instructions. If submitted by private courier (*e.g.*, Federal Express), an objection shall be deemed to have been submitted on the shipping date reflected on the shipping label.

- 75. For an objection to be considered by the Court, the objection must also set forth:
- a. the name of the Action;
- b. the objector's full name, address, telephone number, and email address (if any);
- c. all grounds for the objection, accompanied by any legal support for the objection known to the objector or objector's counsel;
- d. the identity of all counsel who represent the objector and whether they will appear at the Final Approval hearing;
- e. a list of all persons who will be called to testify at the Final Approval Hearing in support of the objection; and
- f. a statement confirming whether the objector intends to personally appear and/or testify at the Final Approval Hearing.
- 76. Class Counsel and/or Defendant may conduct limited discovery on any objector or objector's counsel consistent with the California Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 77. For those Settlement Class members who have agreed to receive notices from Defendant by email, Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with the most recent email addresses it has for these members. The Settlement Administrator shall send the Email Notice to each such member's last known email address, in a manner that is calculated to avoid

being caught and excluded by spam filters or other devices intended to block mass email. For any emails that are returned undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator shall send a Postcard Notice in the manner described below. The Email Notice shall inform Settlement Class members how they may obtain a copy of the Long Form Notice on the Settlement Website or via mail upon request to the Settlement Administrator.

- 78. For those Settlement Class members who have not agreed to receive notices from Defendant by email, the Postcard Notice shall be mailed to these members by first class United States mail to the best available mailing addresses. Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with last known mailing addresses for these members. Prior to mailing the Postcard Notice, the Settlement Administrator shall run the names and addresses through the National Change of Address Registry and update as appropriate. If a mailed Postcard Notice is returned with forwarding address information, the Settlement Administrator shall re-mail the Postcard Notice to the forwarding address. For all mailed Postcard Notices that are returned as undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator shall use standard skip tracing devices to obtain forwarding address information and, if the skip tracing yields a different forwarding address, the Settlement Administrator shall re-mail the Postcard Notice once to the address identified in the skip trace, as soon as reasonably practicable after the receipt of the returned mail. The Postcard Notice shall inform Settlement Class members how they may obtain a copy of the Long Form Notice on the Settlement Website or via mail upon request to the Settlement Administrator.
- 79. The Notice Program shall be completed no later than 60 days before the date upon which the Final Approval Hearing is originally scheduled to occur.
- 80. The Settlement Administrator shall maintain a database showing mail and email addresses to which each Notice was sent and any Notices that were not delivered by mail and/or

email. In addition to weekly updates to the Parties regarding the progress of the Notice Program and the declaration or affidavit by the Settlement Administrator in advance of the Final Approval Hearing and in support of the Motion for Final Approval, a summary report of the Notice Program shall be provided to the Parties 3 days prior to the Final Approval Hearing. The database maintained by the Settlement Administrator regarding the Notices shall be available to the Parties and the Court upon request. It shall otherwise be confidential and shall not be disclosed to any third party. To the extent the database is provided to Class Counsel, it shall be kept confidential, not be shared with any third party and used only for purposes of implementing the terms of this Agreement, and shall not be used for any other purposes.

81. The Email Notice, Postcard Notice, and Long Form Notice shall be in forms approved by the Court, and substantially similar to the notice forms attached hereto as *Exhibits 1* and 2. Not all Accountholders in the Settlement Class will receive all three forms of Notice, as detailed herein. The Parties may by mutual written consent make non-substantive changes to the Notices without Court approval.

IX. Final Approval Order and Judgment

82. Plaintiff shall file her Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement, inclusive of the Application for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Incentive Award for the Class Representative, no later than 45 days before the original date set for the Final Approval Hearing. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will hear argument on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement, and on the Application for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Incentive Award for the Class Representative. In the Court's discretion, the Court also will hear argument at the Final Approval Hearing from any Settlement Class members (or their counsel) who object to the Settlement or to Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and costs, or the Incentive Award, provided the

objectors submitted timely objections that meet all of the requirements listed in the Agreement. If the date or location of the Final Approval Hearing changes, that information will be included on the Settlement Website for the Settlement Class's benefit. Notice to Settlement Class Members of final judgment will be posted on the Settlement Website.

- 83. At or following the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will determine whether to enter the Final Approval Order granting Final Approval of the Settlement and final judgment thereon, and whether to approve Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and costs, and any Incentive Award. Such proposed Final Approval Order shall, among other things:
 - a. Determine that the Settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable;
 - b. Finally certify the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only;
 - c. Determine that the Notice provided satisfies Due Process requirements;
- d. Bar and enjoin all Releasing Parties from asserting any of the Released Claims; bar and enjoin all Releasing Parties from pursuing any Released Claims against Released Parties at any time, including during any appeal from the Final Approval Order; and retain jurisdiction over the enforcement of the Court's injunctions;
 - e. Release Defendant and the Released Parties from the Released Claims; and
- f. Reserve the Court's continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the Parties to this Agreement, including Defendant, all Settlement Class Members, and all objectors, to administer, supervise, construe, and enforce this Agreement in accordance with its terms.

X. Calculation and Disbursement of Settlement Class Member Payments.

- 84. Payments shall be made from the Settlement Fund as follows:
- a. <u>Class Counsel's Fees and Costs</u>. Class Counsel's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, as determined and approved by the Court, shall be paid from the Settlement Fund within

15 days after the Effective Date. Class Counsel shall apply for an award of attorneys' fees of up to 33.33% of the Value of the Settlement, plus reimbursement of reasonable litigation costs, to be approved by the Court. This Settlement is not contingent on approval of a request for attorneys' fees and costs, and if the Court denies the request or grants it in an amount other than what was requested, the remaining provisions of the Settlement Agreement shall remain in force.

- b. <u>Incentive Award</u>. The Class Representative may apply to the Court for an Incentive Award of up to \$5,000.00. Subject to Court approval, the Incentive Award shall be paid no later than 15 days after the Effective Date. This Settlement is not contingent on approval of a request for an Incentive Award, and if the Court denies the request or grants it in an amount other than what was requested, the remaining provisions of the Settlement Agreement shall remain in force.
- c. <u>Settlement Administrator's Fees and Costs</u>. Consistent with Section IV above, the Settlement Administrator's fees and costs shall be paid from the Settlement Fund within 10 days after invoicing to and approval by the Parties. The Parties and the Settlement Administrator agree that any fees or costs incurred by the Settlement Administrator prior to funding of the Settlement Fund shall be deferred and not invoiced until at least fifteen 15 days after the Effective Date. In the event the Final Approval Order is not entered or this Agreement is terminated pursuant to Section XIII below, Defendant agrees to cover any costs incurred and fees charged by the Settlement Administrator pursuant to Section VII above prior to the denial of Final Approval or the termination of this Agreement.
- d. <u>Settlement Class Member Payments</u>. Of the \$1,872,814.00 paid into the Settlement Fund, \$1,470,159.00 (78.5%) is allocated to the APPSN Funds Fee Class and \$402,655.00 (21.5%) is allocated to the Retry Fee Class. If applicable, Settlement Class Members

may receive payments as members of the APPSN Fee Class and the Retry Fee Class. Based on this allocation, payments from the Settlement Fund to the Settlement Class Members shall be calculated as follows:

- i. Settlement Class Members of the APPSN Fee Class shall be paid per incurred APPSN Fee calculated as follows:
 - (0.785 of the Net Settlement Fund/Total APPSN Fees) x Total number of APPSN Fees charged to and paid by each APPSN Fee Class Member.
- ii. Settlement Class Members of the Retry Fee Class shall be paid per Retry Fee calculated as follows:
 - (0.215 of the Net Settlement Fund/Total Retry Fees) x Total number of Retry Fees charged to and paid by each Retry Fee Class Member.
- iii. Settlement Class Member Payments shall be made no later than 30 days after theEffective Date, as follows:
 - (1) For those Settlement Class Members who are Current Accountholders at the time of the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund, a credit in the amount of the Settlement Class Member Payment they are entitled to receive shall be applied by Defendant to the Account that was assessed APPSN Fees and/or Retry Fees. If that account is no longer active, then a credit may be made to any checking or savings account they are then maintaining at Defendant that is held by them individually. If by the deadline for Defendant to apply credits of Settlement Class Member Payments to accounts Defendant is unable to complete certain credit(s), Defendant shall deliver the total amount of such unsuccessful Settlement Class Member Payment

- credits to the Settlement Administrator to be paid by check in accordance with subsection 2 below. Defendant shall provide a declaration or affidavit confirming its efforts to complete the required Account credits, including both completed and unsuccessful credits, and its delivery of the funds required to attempt payments by check for the unsuccessful credits.
- (2) For those Settlement Class Members who are Past Accountholders at the time of the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund, they shall be sent a check by the Settlement Administrator at the address used to provide the Notice, or at such other address as designated by the Settlement Class Member. For jointly held accounts, checks will be payable to all members, and will be mailed to the first member listed on the account. The Settlement Administrator will make reasonable efforts to locate the proper address for any check returned by the Postal Service as undeliverable and will re-mail it once to the updated address or, in the case of a jointly held account, and in the Settlement Administrator's discretion, to an accountholder other than the one listed first. The Settlement Class Member shall have 180 days to negotiate the check. Any checks uncashed after 180 days shall be distributed pursuant to Section XI.
- iv. In no event shall any portion of the Settlement Fund revert to Defendant.
- 85. All funds held by the Settlement Administrator shall be deemed and considered to be in *custodia legis* of the Court, and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, until distributed pursuant to this Agreement.
 - 86. All funds held by the Settlement Administrator at any time shall be deemed to be a

Qualified Settlement Fund as described in Treasury Regulation §1.468B-1, 26 C.F.R. §1.468B-1.

XI. Disposition of Residual Funds

- 87. Within one year after the date the Settlement Administrator mails the first Settlement Class Member Payment, any remaining amounts resulting from uncashed checks ("Residual Funds") shall be distributed as follows:
- a. First, any Residual Funds remaining after the first distribution shall be distributed on a *pro rata* basis to Settlement Class Members who either cashed their checks or received an Account credit, to the extent feasible and practical in light of the costs of administering such subsequent payments, unless the amounts involved are too small to make individual distributions economically feasible or other specific reasons exist that would make such further distributions impossible or unfair. Should such a second distribution be made, the participating Settlement Class Members will be sent a check by the Settlement Administrator. Any second distribution checks shall be valid for 90 days.
- b. Second, in the event the costs of preparing, transmitting and administering such subsequent payments to Settlement Class Members do not make individual distributions economically feasible or practical or other specific reasons exist that would make such further distributions impossible or unfair, or if such a second distribution is made and Residual Funds still remain, the Residual Funds shall be distributed to a *cy pres* recipient. The Parties agree to propose Frontwave Foundation as the *cy pres* recipient. If the Court is not inclined to approve Frontwave Foundation as the *cy pres* recipient, the Parties propose the Armed Services YMCA (Camp Pendleton, 29 Palms & San Diego) as an alternative *cy pres* recipient with the Residual Funds divided as follows: 50% to ASYMCA Camp Pendleton, 25% to ASYMCA 29 Palms, and 25% to ASYMCA San Diego.

XII. Releases

- 88. Except as to the rights and obligations provided for under the terms of this Agreement, as of the Effective Date, Releasing Parties shall automatically be deemed to fully and irrevocably release and forever discharge the Released Parties of and from the Released Claims.
- 89. Each Settlement Class Member is barred and permanently enjoined from bringing on behalf of themselves, or through any person purporting to act on their behalf or purporting to assert a claim under or through them, any of the Released Claims against Defendant in any forum, action, or proceeding of any kind.
- 90. The Releasing Parties, agree to waive any rights they may have under Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as to those releases contained in this Agreement, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Releasing Parties acknowledge that they may later discover facts different from, or in addition to, those which they now know and believe, or should now know and believe, to be true with respect to the releases herein made. Releasing Parties agree that their releases shall, and will remain, effective notwithstanding the existence, and/or subsequent discovery, of such additional facts.

91. Nothing in this Agreement shall operate or be construed to release any claims or rights that Defendant has to recover any past, present, or future amounts that may be owed by Plaintiff or by any Settlement Class Member on his/her accounts, loans, or any other debts with Defendant, pursuant to the terms and conditions of such accounts, loans, or any other debts. Likewise, nothing in this Agreement shall operate or be construed to release any defenses or rights of set-off that Plaintiff or any Settlement Class Member has, other than with respect to the claims

expressly released by this Agreement, in the event Defendant and/or its assigns seeks to recover any past, present, or future amounts that may be owed by Plaintiff or by any Settlement Class Member on his/her accounts, loans, or any other debts with Defendant, pursuant to the terms and conditions of such accounts, loans, or any other debts.

XIII. Termination of Settlement

- 92. This Settlement may be terminated by either Class Counsel or Defendant by serving on counsel for the opposing Party and filing with the Court a written notice of termination within 15 days (or such longer time as may be agreed in writing between Class Counsel and Defendant) after any of the following occurrences:
 - a. Class Counsel and Defendant agree to termination;
- b. the Court rejects, materially modifies, materially amends, or changes, or declines to grant Preliminary Approval or Final Approval;
- c. an appellate court vacates or reverses the Final Approval Order, and the Settlement is not reinstated and finally approved without material change by the Court on remand within 360 days after such reversal;
- d. any court incorporates into, or deletes or strikes from, or modifies, amends, or changes, the Preliminary Approval Order, Final Approval Order, or the Settlement in a way that Class Counsel or Defendant seeking to terminate the Settlement reasonably considers material;
 - e. the Effective Date does not occur; or
 - f. any other ground for termination provided for elsewhere in this Agreement.
- 93. Defendant also shall have the right to terminate the Settlement by serving on Class Counsel and filing with the Court a notice of termination within 10 days after its receipt from the Settlement Administrator of any report indicating that the number of Accountholders in the

Settlement Class who timely opt-out from the Settlement Class equals or exceeds 5% of the total Settlement Class members.

- 94. In the event of a termination, this Agreement shall be considered null and void; all of Plaintiff's, Class Counsel's, and Defendant's obligations under the Settlement shall cease to be of any force and effect; and the Parties shall return to the status *quo ante* in the Action as if the Parties had not entered into this Agreement. In addition, in the event of such a termination, all of the Parties' respective pre-Settlement rights, claims and defenses will be retained and preserved.
- 95. In the event the Settlement is terminated in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement, any discussions, offers, or negotiations associated with this Settlement shall not be discoverable or offered into evidence or used in the Action or any other action or proceeding for any purpose. In such event, all Parties to the Action shall stand in the same position as if this Agreement had not been negotiated, made or filed with the Court.

XIV. No Admission of Liability

- 96. Defendant continues to dispute its liability for the claims alleged in the Action and maintains that its overdraft practices and representations concerning those practices complied, at all times, with applicable laws and regulations and the terms of the account agreements with its members. Defendant does not admit any liability or wrongdoing of any kind, by this Agreement or otherwise. Defendant has agreed to enter into this Agreement to avoid the further expense, inconvenience, and distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation, and to be completely free of any further claims that were asserted or could possibly have been asserted in the Action relating to Defendant's assessment of APPSN Fees or Retry Fees.
- 97. Class Counsel believe that the claims asserted in the Action have merit, and they have examined and considered the benefits to be obtained under the proposed Settlement set forth

in this Agreement, the risks associated with the continued prosecution of this complex, costly, and time-consuming litigation, and the likelihood of success on the merits of the Action. Class Counsel fully investigated the facts and law relevant to the merits of the claims, conducted significant informal discovery, and conducted independent investigation of the challenged practices. Class Counsel concluded that the proposed Settlement set forth in this Agreement is fair, adequate, reasonable, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members.

- 98. The Parties understand and acknowledge that this Agreement constitutes a compromise and settlement of disputed claims. No action taken by the Parties either previously or in connection with the negotiations or proceedings connected with this Agreement shall be deemed or construed to be an admission of the truth or falsity of any claims or defenses heretofore made, or an acknowledgment or admission by any party of any fault, liability, or wrongdoing of any kind whatsoever.
- 99. Neither the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be, or may be used as, an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any claim made by the Plaintiff or Settlement Class Members, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Released Parties; or (b) is or may be deemed to be, or may be used as, an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the Released Parties, in the Action or in any proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal.
- 100. In addition to any other defenses Class Counsel may have at law, in equity, or otherwise, to the extent permitted by law, this Agreement may be pleaded as a full and complete defense to, and may be used as the basis for an injunction against, any action, suit or other proceeding that may be instituted, prosecuted, or attempted in breach of this Agreement or the Releases contained herein.

XIX. Confidentiality

101. None of the Parties shall issue any press release or shall otherwise initiate press coverage of the Settlement, nor shall any Party post about the Settlement on social media or any website other than the fact that the Settlement was reached and that it was a fair and reasonable result. If contacted, the Party may respond generally, either online or in person, by stating that they are happy that the Settlement was reached and that it was a fair and reasonable result.

XX. Miscellaneous Provisions

- 102. <u>Gender and Plurals</u>. As used in this Agreement, the masculine, feminine or neuter gender, and the singular or plural number, shall each be deemed to include the others whenever the context so indicates.
- 103. <u>Binding Effect</u>. This Agreement shall be binding upon, and inure to for the benefit of, the successors and assigns of the Releasing Parties and the Released Parties.
- 104. <u>Cooperation of Parties</u>. The Parties to this Agreement agree to cooperate in good faith to prepare and execute all documents, to seek Court approval, uphold Court approval, and do all things reasonably necessary to complete and effectuate the Settlement described in this Agreement.
- 105. <u>Obligation to Meet and Confer</u>. Before filing any motion in the Court raising a dispute arising out of or related to this Agreement, the Parties shall consult with each other and certify to the Court that they have consulted.
- 106. <u>Integration</u>. This Agreement constitutes a single, integrated written contract expressing the entire agreement of the Parties relative to the subject matter hereof. No covenants, agreements, representations, or warranties of any kind whatsoever have been made by any Party hereto, except as provided for herein.
 - 107. No Conflict Intended. Any inconsistency between the headings used in this

Agreement and the text of the paragraphs of this Agreement shall be resolved in favor of the text.

- 108. <u>Governing Law</u>. Except as otherwise provided herein, the Agreement shall be construed in accordance with, and be governed by, the laws of the State of California, without regard to the principles thereof regarding choice of law.
- 109. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument, even though all Parties do not sign the same counterparts. Original signatures are not required. Any signature submitted by facsimile or through email of an Adobe PDF shall be deemed an original.
- 110. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over the implementation, enforcement, and performance of this Agreement, and shall have exclusive jurisdiction over any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of or relating to this Agreement that cannot be resolved by negotiation and agreement by counsel for the Parties. The Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to the administration, consummation, and enforcement of the Agreement and shall retain jurisdiction for the purpose of enforcing all terms of the Agreement. The Court shall also retain jurisdiction over all questions and/or disputes related to the Notice Program and the Settlement Administrator. As part of their agreement to render services in connection with this Settlement, the Settlement Administrator shall consent to the jurisdiction of the Court for this purpose. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over the enforcement of the Court's injunction barring and enjoining all Releasing Parties from asserting any of the Released Claims and from pursuing any Released Claims against Defendant or its affiliates at any time, including during any appeal from the Final Approval Order.
 - 111. Notices. All notices to Class Counsel provided for herein, shall be sent by email

with a hard copy sent by overnight mail to:

KALIEL GOLD PLLC Sophia Goren Gold 950 Gilman Street, Suite 200 Berkeley, California 94710 Email: sgold@kalielgold.com Class Counsel

KALIEL GOLD PLLC Jeffrey D. Kaliel 1100 15th Street NW 4th Floor Washington, D.C. 20005 Email: jkaliel@kalielgold.com *Class Counsel*

KOPELOWITZ OSTROW P.A. Jeff Ostrow 1 West Las Olas Blvd., Suite 500 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 Email: ostrow@kolawyers.com Class Counsel

KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP Stuart M. Richter, Esq. Camille A. Brooks, Esq, 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Email: stuart.richter@katten.com Email: camille.brooks@katten.com Counsel for Frontwave Credit Union

The notice recipients and addresses designated above may be changed by written notice. Upon the request of any of the Parties, the Parties agree to promptly provide each other with copies of objections, requests for exclusion, or other filings received as a result of the Notice program.

- 112. <u>Modification and Amendment</u>. This Agreement may not be amended or modified, except by a written instrument signed by Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant and, if the Settlement has been approved preliminarily by the Court, approved by the Court.
 - 113. No Waiver. The waiver by any Party of any breach of this Agreement by another

Party shall not be deemed or construed as a waiver of any other breach, whether prior, subsequent, or contemporaneous, of this Agreement.

- 114. <u>Authority</u>. Class Counsel (for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members), and Defendant's Counsel (for Defendant), represent and warrant that the persons signing this Agreement on their behalf have full power and authority to bind every person, partnership, corporation or entity included within the definitions of Plaintiff and Defendant to all terms of this Agreement. Any person executing this Agreement in a representative capacity represents and warrants that he or she is fully authorized to do so and to bind the Party on whose behalf he or she signs this Agreement to all of the terms and provisions of this Agreement.
- 115. Agreement Mutually Prepared. Neither Defendant nor Plaintiff, nor any of them, shall be considered to be the drafter of this Agreement or any of its provisions for the purpose of any statute, case law, or rule of interpretation or construction that would or might cause any provision to be construed against the drafter of this Agreement.
- 116. Independent Investigation and Decision to Settle. The Parties understand and acknowledge that they: (a) have performed an independent investigation of the allegations of fact and law made in connection with this Action; and (b) that even if they may hereafter discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that they now know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Action as reflected in this Agreement, that will not affect or in any respect limit the binding nature of this Agreement. Both Parties recognize and acknowledge that they and their experts reviewed and analyzed data for a subset of the time at issue and that they and their experts used extrapolation to make certain determinations, arguments, and settlement positions. The Parties agree that this Settlement is reasonable and will not attempt to renegotiate or otherwise void or invalidate or terminate the Settlement irrespective of what any unexamined data later

shows. It is the Parties' intention to resolve their disputes in connection with this Action pursuant to the terms of this Agreement now and thus, in furtherance of their intentions, the Agreement shall remain in full force and effect notwithstanding the discovery of any additional facts or law, or changes in law, and this Agreement shall not be subject to rescission or modification by reason of any changes or differences in facts or law, subsequently occurring or otherwise.

117. Receipt of Advice of Counsel. Each Party acknowledges, agrees, and specifically warrants that he, she, or it has fully read this Agreement and the Releases contained herein, received independent legal advice with respect to the advisability of entering into this Agreement and the Releases, and the legal effects of this Agreement and the Releases, and fully understands the effect of this Agreement and the Releases.

Signature Page Follows

AGREED AND APPROVED as of the dates indicated below.

Dated: Sep 18, 2023	□
	ELAINE WARD-HOWIE Plaintiff
Dated:	Frontwave Credit Union
	By: Its:
APPROVED AS TO FORM.	
Dated: Sep 15, 2023	Sophia Gold (Sep. 25, 2023 08:35 PDT) Sophia Goren Gold, Esq. KALIEL GOLD PLLC Class Counsel
Dated: Sep 15, 2023	Jeffrey Kaliel (Sep 15, 2023 11:40 EDT) Jeffrey D. Kaliel, Esq. KALIEL GOLD PLLC Class Counsel
Dated: Sep 15, 2023	Jeffrey Ostrow Jeffrey Ostrow (Sep 15, 2023 11:56 EDT) Jeff Ostrow, Esq. KOPELOWITZ OSTROW P.A. Class Counsel
Dated:	
	Stuart M. Richter, Esq. KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP Counsel for Frontwave Credit Union

AGREED AND APPROVED as of the dates indicated below.

Dated:	*
	ELAINE WARD-HOWIE Plaintiff
Dated: September 15, 2023	Frontwave Credit Union
	By: WILLAM BIRNIE Its: PRESIDENT/CEO
APPROVED AS TO FORM.	
Dated:	*
	Sophia Goren Gold, Esq. KALIEL GOLD PLLC Class Counsel
Dated:	
	Jeffrey D. Kaliel, Esq. KALIEL GOLD PLLC Class Counsel
Dated:	
Dated: September 15, 2023	Jeff Ostrow, Esq. KOPELOWITZ OSTROW P.A. Class Counsel
Dated	Stuart M. Richter, Esq.

KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP Counsel for Frontwave Credit Union

Exhibit 1 – Email and Postcard Notice

Elaine Ward-Howie v. Frontwave Credit Union Case No. 37-2022-00016328-CU-BC-CTL

NOTICE OF PENDING CLASS ACTION AND PROPOSED SETTLEMENT READ THIS NOTICE FULLY AND CAREFULLY; THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT MAY AFFECT YOUR RIGHTS!

IF YOU HAVE OR HAD A CHECKING ACCOUNT WITH FRONTWAVE CREDIT UNION AND YOU WERE CHARGED CERTAIN OVERDRAFT FEES ON DEBIT CARD PAYMENTS BETWEEN APRIL 29, 2018 AND JUNE 30, 2022 AND/OR CERTAIN RETURNED ITEM FEES AND/OR OVERDRAFT FEES ON CHECK AND ACH PAYMENTS BETWEEN JANUARY 4, 2019 AND JUNE 30, 2022, THEN YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO A PAYMENT FROM A CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Para una notificación en español, visite nuestro sitio de web: [INSERT WEBSITE ADDRESS]

This is not a solicitation from a lawyer
The San Diego County Superior Court for the State of California has authorized this Notice.

You may be a member of the Settlement Class in *Elaine Ward-Howie v. Frontwave Credit Union*, in which the plaintiff alleges that defendant Frontwave Credit Union ("Defendant") improperly assessed certain overdraft fees for debit card payments between April 29, 2018 and June 30, 2022 and/or certain returned item and/or overdraft fees for check and ACH payments between January 4, 2019 and June 30, 2022. If you are a member of the Settlement Class and the Settlement is approved, you may be entitled to receive a cash payment from the \$1,872,814.00 Settlement Fund and/or the forgiveness of certain overdraft and/or returned item fees that were assessed but have not yet been collected. Defendant denies all claims in the action and denies it charged any fees that were not authorized and disclosed. Defendant has agreed to settle to avoid the time, expense and distraction of litigation.

The Court has preliminarily approved this Settlement. It will hold a Final Approval Hearing in this case on [INSERT DATE]. At that hearing, the Court will consider whether to grant Final Approval to the Settlement, and whether to approve payment from the Settlement Fund of up to \$5,000.00 for an incentive award to the class representative, up to \$666,600.00, equal to 33.33% of the Value of the Settlement as attorneys' fees, and reimbursement of costs to the attorneys estimated to be and to the Settlement Administrator estimated to be and to the Settlement and you do not request to be excluded from the Settlement, you will release your right to bring any claim covered by the Settlement. In exchange, Defendant has agreed to issue a credit to your Account, a cash payment to you if you are no longer a member, and/or to forgive certain overdraft and/or returned item fees.

To obtain a Long Form Notice and other important documents, please visit [INSERT WEBSITE ADDRESS]. Alternatively, you may call [INSERT PHONE #].

If you do not want to participate in this Settlement—you do not want to receive a credit or cash payment and/or the forgiveness of uncollected overdraft and/or returned item fees and you do not want to be bound by any judgment entered in this case—you may exclude yourself by submitting an opt-out request postmarked no later than [PARTIES TO INSERT DATE]. If you want to object to this Settlement because you think it is not fair, adequate, or reasonable, you may object by submitting an objection postmarked no later than [PARTIES TO INSERT DATE]. You may learn more about the opt-out and objection procedures by visiting [PARTIES TO PROVIDE WEBSITE ADDRESS] or by calling [Insert Phone #].

Exhibit 2 – Long Form Notice

Elaine Ward-Howie v. Frontwave Credit Union

NOTICE OF PENDING CLASS ACTION AND PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

READ THIS NOTICE FULLY AND CAREFULLY; THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT MAY AFFECT YOUR RIGHTS!

IF YOU HAVE OR HAD A CHECKING ACCOUNT WITH FRONTWAVE CREDIT UNION AND YOU WERE CHARGED CERTAIN OVERDRAFT FEES ON DEBIT CARD PAYMENTS BETWEEN APRIL 29, 2018 AND JUNE 30, 2022 AND/OR CERTAIN RETURNED ITEM FEES AND/OR OVERDRAFT FEES ON CHECK AND ACH PAYMENTS BETWEEN JANUARY 4, 2019 AND JUNE 30, 2022, THEN YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO A PAYMENT FROM A CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

The San Diego County Superior Court for the State of California has authorized this Notice; it is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

SUMMARY OF YOUR OPTIONS AND THE LEGAL EFFECT OF EACH OPTION	
DO NOTHING	If you don't do anything, you will receive a payment or account credit from the Settlement Fund and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees so long as you do not opt out of or exclude yourself from the Settlement (described in the next box).
EXCLUDE YOURSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT; RECEIVE NO PAYMENT BUT RELEASE NO CLAIMS	You can choose to exclude yourself from the Settlement or "opt out." This means you choose not to participate in the Settlement. You will keep your individual claims against Defendant but you will not receive a payment for Relevant Fees and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees. If you exclude yourself from the Settlement but want to recover against Defendant, you will have to file a separate lawsuit or claim.
OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT	You can file an objection with the Court explaining why you believe the Court should reject the Settlement. If your objection is overruled by the Court, then you may receive a payment and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees and you will not be able to sue Defendant for the claims asserted in this litigation. If the Court agrees with your objection, then the Settlement may not be approved.

These rights and options – *and the deadlines to exercise them* – along with the material terms of the Settlement are explained in this Notice.

BASIC INFORMATION

1. What is this lawsuit about?

The lawsuit that is being settled is entitled *Elaine Ward-Howie v. Frontwave Credit Union*. It is pending in the San Diego County Superior Court for the State of California, Case No. 37-2022-00016328-CU-BC-CTL. San Diego Superior Court Judge Katherine A. Bacal is overseeing this case.

The case is a "class action." That means that the "Class Representative," Elaine Ward-Howie, is an individual who is acting on behalf of current and former members who were purportedly improperly assessed APPSN Fees between April 29, 2018 and June 30, 2022 and Retry Fees between January 4, 2019 and June 30, 2022. "APPSN Fees" mean Overdraft Fees that Defendant charged and did not refund on signature Point of Sale Debit Card transactions, where there was a sufficient available balance at the time the transaction was authorized, but an insufficient available balance at the time the transaction was presented to Defendant for payment and posted to the account. "Retry Fees" mean Returned Item Fees and Overdraft Fees that were charged and not refunded during the Retry Fee Class Period, for Automated Clearing House (ACH) and check transactions that were re-submitted by a merchant after being returned by Defendant for insufficient funds. The Class Representative has asserted claims for breach of contract, including breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and violation of California's Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.). The Amended Complaint in this lawsuit is posted on the Settlement Website.

Defendant does not deny it charged the fees the Class Representative is complaining about, but contends it did so properly and in accordance with the terms of its agreements and applicable law. Defendant therefore denies that its practices give rise to claims for damages by the Class Representative or any Settlement Class members, but is settling to avoid expense and distraction resulting from the litigation.

2. Why did I receive this Notice of this lawsuit?

You received this Notice because Defendant's records indicate that you were charged one or more APPSN Fees and/or Retry Fees that are the subject of this Action. The Court directed that this Notice be sent to all Settlement Class members because each such member has a right to know about the proposed settlement and the options available to him or her before the Court decides whether to approve the settlement.

3. Why did the parties settle?

In any lawsuit, there are risks and potential benefits that come with a trial versus settling at an earlier stage. It is the Class Representative's and her lawyers' job to identify when a proposed settlement offer is good enough that it justifies recommending settling the case instead of continuing to trial. In a class action, the Class Representative's lawyers, known as Class Counsel, make this recommendation to the Class Representative. The Class Representative has the duty to act in the best interests of the class as a whole and, in this case, it is her belief, as well as Class Counsel's opinion, that this Settlement is in the best interest of all Settlement Class members.

There is legal uncertainty about whether a judge or a jury will find that Defendant was contractually and otherwise legally obligated not to assess the fees at issue. And even if it was contractually wrong to assess these fees, there is uncertainty about whether the Class Representative's claims are subject to other defenses that might result in no or less recovery to Settlement Class members. Even if the Class Representative was to win at trial, there is no assurance that the Settlement Class members would be awarded more than the current settlement amount and it may take years of litigation before any payments would be made. By settling, the Settlement Class members will avoid these and other risks and the delays associated with continued litigation.

While Defendant disputes the allegations in the lawsuit and denies any liability or wrongdoing, it enters into the Settlement solely to avoid the expense, inconvenience, and distraction of further proceedings in the litigation.

WHO IS IN THE SETTLEMENT

4. How do I know if I am part of the Settlement?

If you received this notice, then Defendant's records indicate that you are a member of the Settlement Class who is entitled to receive a payment or credit to your account and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees.

YOUR OPTIONS

5. What options do I have with respect to the Settlement?

You have three options: (1) do nothing and you will receive a check payment or account credit and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees according to the terms of this Settlement; (2) exclude yourself from the Settlement ("opt out" of it); or (3) participate in the Settlement but object to it. Each of these options is described in a separate section below.

6. What are the critical deadlines?

There is no deadline to receive the Settlement benefits. If you do nothing, then you will get a check payment or account credit and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees.

The deadline for sending a letter to exclude yourself from or opt out of the Settlement is ______

The deadline to file an objection with the Court is also

7. How do I decide which option to choose?

If you do not like the Settlement and you believe that you could receive more money by pursuing your claims on your own (with or without an attorney that you could hire) and you are comfortable with the risk that you might lose your case or get less than you would in this Settlement, then you may want to consider opting out.

If you believe the Settlement is unreasonable, unfair, or inadequate and the Court should reject the Settlement, you can object to the Settlement terms. The Court will decide if your objection is valid. If the Court agrees, then the Settlement may not be approved and no payments and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees will be made to you or any other member of the Settlement Class. If

your objection (and any other objection) is overruled, and the Settlement is approved, then you may still get a payment and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees, and will be bound by the Settlement, including the release of claims.

If you want to participate in the Settlement, then you don't have to do anything; you will receive a payment or account credit and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees if the Settlement is approved by the Court.

8. What has to happen for the Settlement to be approved?

The Court has to decide that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate before it will approve it. The Court already has decided to provide Preliminary Approval of the Settlement, which is why you received a Notice. The Court will make a final decision regarding the Settlement at a "Final Approval Hearing," which is currently scheduled for

THE SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

9. How much is the Settlement?

Defendant has agreed to create a Settlement Fund of \$1,872,814.00, allocated \$1,470,159.00 to the APPSN Fee Class and \$402,655.00 to the Retry Fee Class. It will also forgive Uncollected Relevant Fees totaling \$127,186.00, as defined in the Settlement Agreement.

As discussed separately below, attorneys' fees, litigation costs, and the costs paid to a third-party Settlement Administrator to administer the Settlement (including mailing and emailing Notice) will be paid out of the Settlement Fund. The Net Settlement Fund will be divided among all Settlement Class Members entitled to Settlement Class Member Payments based on formulas described in the Settlement Agreement.

10. How much of the Settlement Fund will be used to pay for attorney fees and costs?

Class Counsel will request the Court to approve attorneys' fees of not more than \$666,600.00, equal to 33.33% of the Value of the Settlement, and will request that it be reimbursed for litigation costs incurred in prosecuting the case estimated to be \$______. The Court will decide the amount of the attorneys' fees and costs based on a number of factors, including the risk associated with bringing the case on a contingency basis, the amount of time spent on the case, the amount of costs incurred to prosecute the case, the quality of the work, and the outcome of the case.

11. How much of the Settlement Fund will be used to pay the Class Representative an Incentive Award?

Class Counsel will request that the Class Representative be paid an Incentive Award in the amount of up to \$_____ for her work in connection with this case. The Incentive Award must be approved by the Court.

12.	How much of the Settlement Fund will be used to pay the Settlement Administrator's
expens	ses?

The Settlement Administrator estimates its expenses at \$

13. How much will my payment be?

The balance of the Settlement Fund after attorneys' fees and costs, the Incentive Award, and the Settlement Administrator's fees, also known as the Net Settlement Fund, will be divided among all Settlement Class Members entitled to Settlement Class Member Payments in accordance with the formulas outlined in the Settlement Agreement. Current Accountholders will receive a credit to their accounts for the amount they are entitled to receive. Past Accountholders shall receive a check from the Settlement Administrator. Settlement Class Members entitled to forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees shall receive this benefit automatically.

14. What am I giving up to stay in the Settlement Class?

If you stay in the Settlement Class, all of the decisions by the Court will bind you, and you give Defendant a "release." A release means you cannot sue, continue to sue, or be part of any other lawsuit against Defendant about the legal issues in this case. As of the Effective Date, you shall automatically be deemed to have fully and irrevocably released and forever discharged Defendant from any and all liabilities, rights, claims, actions, causes of action, demands, damages, costs, attorneys' fees, losses and remedies, whether known or unknown, existing or potential, suspected or unsuspected, liquidated or unliquidated, legal, statutory, or equitable, based on contract, tort or any other theory, that arise from or relate to Defendant's assessment of APPSN Fees or Retry Fees, and claims that were asserted or could possibly have been asserted in the Action relating Defendant's assessment of APPSN Fees or Retry Fees. More information about the release may be found in the Settlement Agreement.

15. Do I have to do anything if I want to participate in the Settlement?

No. If you received this Notice, then you may be entitled to receive a check payment or credit for Relevant Fees and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees without having to make a claim, unless you choose to exclude yourself from the Settlement, or "opt out."

16. When will I receive my check payment or account credit?

The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing on _____, at _____ a.m./p.m. to consider whether the Settlement should be approved. If the Court approves the Settlement, then payments should be made or credits should be issued within 30 days of the Effective Date. However, if someone objects to the Settlement, and the objection is sustained, then there may be no Settlement. Even if all objections are overruled and the Court approves the Settlement, an objector could appeal, and it might take months or even years to have the appeal resolved, which would delay any payment.

Subject to Court approval, any Residual Funds from uncashed checks remaining after the first distribution shall be distributed on a *pro rata* basis to Settlement Class Members who either cashed their checks or received Account credits, to the extent feasible and practical in light of the costs of administering such subsequent payments, unless the amounts involved are too small to make individual distributions economically feasible or other specific reasons exist that would make such further distributions impossible or unfair. Should such a second distribution be made, the participating Settlement Class Members will be sent a check by the Settlement Administrator. Any second distribution checks shall be valid for 90 days.

Also subject to Court approval, in the event the costs of preparing, transmitting, and administering such subsequent payments to Settlement Class Members do not make individual distributions economically feasible or practical, or other specific reasons exist that would make such further distributions impossible or unfair, or if such a second distribution is made and Residual Funds still remain, the Residual Funds shall be distributed to a *cy pres* recipient approved by the Court. The Parties agree to propose Frontwave Foundation or Armed Services YMCA (Camp Pendleton, 29 Palms & San Diego) as the *cy pres* recipient.

EXCLUDING YOURSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT

17. How do I exclude myself from the Settlement?

If you do not want to receive a payment or if you want to keep any right you may have to sue Defendant for the claims alleged in this lawsuit, then you must exclude yourself, or "opt out."

To opt out, you **must** send a letter to the Settlement Administrator that you want to be excluded. Your letter can simply say "I hereby elect to be excluded from the settlement in the *Elaine Ward-Howie v. Frontwave Credit Union* class action." Be sure to include your name, the last four digits of your current or former member number(s), address, telephone number, and email address (if any). Your exclusion or opt out request must be postmarked by the postmar

Elaine Ward-Howie v. Frontwave Credit Union
Attn:

ADDRESS OF THE SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR

18. What happens if I opt out of the Settlement?

If you opt out of the Settlement, you will preserve and not give up any of your rights to sue Defendant for the claims alleged in this case. However, you will not be entitled to receive a check payment or credit and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees from the Settlement.

OBJECTING TO THE SETTLEMENT

19. How do I notify the Court that I do not like the Settlement?

You can object to the Settlement or any part of it that you do not like **IF** you do not opt-out from the Settlement. (Settlement Class members who opt-out from the Settlement have no right to object to how other Settlement Class Members are treated.) To object, you **must** send a written document by mail or private courier (e.g., Federal Express) to the Settlement Administrator at the address below. Your objection must include the following information:

- a. the name of the Action;
- b. the objector's full name, address, telephone number, and email address (if any);
- c. all grounds for the objection, accompanied by any legal support for the objection known to the objector's counsel;

- d. the identity of all counsel who represent the objector and whether they will appear at the Final Approval Hearing;
- e. a list of all persons who will be called to testify at the Final Approval Hearing in support of the objection; and
- f. a statement confirming whether the objector intends to personally appear and/or testify at the Final Approval Hearing.

All objections must be post-marked <u>no later</u> than _____, and must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator as follows:

Elaine Ward-Howie v. Frontwave Credit Union Attn:
ADDRESS OF THE SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR

20. What is the difference between objecting and opting-out from the Settlement?

Objecting is telling the Court that you do not believe the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Settlement Class, and asking the Court to reject it. You can object only if you do not opt-out of the Settlement. If you object to the Settlement and do not opt-out, then you are entitled to a check payment or credit for Relevant Fees and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees if the Settlement is approved, but you will release claims you might have against Defendant.

Opting-out is telling the Court that you do not want to be part of the Settlement, and do not want to receive a check payment or credit for Relevant Fees and/or forgiveness of Uncollected Relevant Fees, or release claims you might have against Defendant for the claims alleged in this lawsuit.

21. What happens if I object to the Settlement?

If the Court sustains your objection, or the objection of any other member of the Settlement Class, then there may be no Settlement. If you object, but the Court overrules your objection and any other objection(s), then you will be part of the Settlement; provided, however, that an objection to Class Counsel's requested attorneys' fees and costs or to the requested Incentive Award amount, may result in approval of the Settlement but the award of a lower attorneys' fee and cost amount or lower Incentive Award. If you object, but the Court overrules your objection and any other objection(s), then you will be part of the Settlement. If the Court approves the Settlement, then the objector will participate in the Settlement. If the Court does not approve the settlement, then there is no Settlement.

THE COURT'S FINAL APPROVAL HEARING

22. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlement?

The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing at _____ a.m./p.m. on _____, 2023 in Department 69 of the San Diego County Superior Court for the State of California, which is located at the Hall of Justice, 330 West Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101. At this hearing, the Court will consider whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate. If there are objections, the Court will

23. Do I have to come to the hearing?

No. Class Counsel will answer any questions the Court may have. You may attend if you desire to do so. If you have submitted an objection, then you may want to attend.

24. May I speak at the hearing?

If you have objected, you may ask the Court for permission to speak at the Final Approval Hearing. To do so, you must include with your objection, described in Question 19, above, the statement, "I hereby give notice that I intend to appear at the Final Approval Hearing." The Court will consider your objection even if you do not appear.

THE LAWYERS REPRESENTING YOU

25. Do I have a lawyer in this case?

The Court ordered that the lawyers and their law firms referred to in this Notice as "Class Counsel" will represent you and the other Settlement Class Members. However, you may retain a lawyer to represent you at your own expense.

26. Do I have to pay the lawyer for accomplishing this result?

No. Class Counsel will be paid directly from the Settlement Fund for the legal services provided to accomplish the Settlement for Settlement Class Members' benefit. Class Counsel's award of attorneys' fees and costs is deducted from the Settlement Fund, reducing that amount in calculating the Net Settlement Fund from which Settlement Class Members will be paid..

27. Who determines what the attorneys' fees will be?

The Court will be asked to approve the amount of attorneys' fees at the Final Approval Hearing. Class Counsel will file an application for attorneys' fees and costs and will specify the amount being sought as discussed above. You may review a physical copy of the fee application at the Settlement Website established by the Settlement Administrator.

GETTING MORE INFORMATION

This Notice only summarizes the proposed Settlement. More details are contained in the Settlement Agreement, which can be viewed/obtained online at [WEBSITE] or by contacting the Settlement Administrator (details below) and requesting a copy.

For additional information about the Settlement and/or to obtain copies of the Settlement Agreement, or to change your address for purposes of receiving a payment, you should contact the Settlement Administrator as follows:

Elaine Ward-Howie v. Frontwave Credit Union Settlement Administrator

Attn:

ADDRESS OF THE SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR

For more information, you also can contact the Class Counsel as follows:

KALIEL GOLD PLLC Sophia Goren Gold 950 Gilman Street, Suite 200 Berkeley, California 94710 Email: sgold@kalielgold.com

KALIEL GOLD PLLC Jeffrey D. Kaliel 1100 15th Street NW 4th Floor Washington, D.C. 20005 Email: jkaliel@kalielgold.com

KOPELOWITZ OSTROW P.A. Jeff Ostrow 1 West Las Olas Blvd., Suite 500 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Email: ostrow@kolawyers.com

PLEASE DO NOT CONTACT THE COURT OR ANY REPRESENTATIVE OF DEFENDANT CONCERNING THIS NOTICE OR THE SETTLEMENT.

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE** 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 3 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and **not a party to this action**. I am employed in the District of Columbia. My business address is 950 Gilman Avenue, Suite 200, 4 Berkeley, California 94710. 5 On May 28, 2024, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as: 6 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS 7 ACTION SETTLEMENT; APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND 8 INCENTIVE AWARD 9 on the interested parties in this action as follows: 10 Stuart M. Richter Attorneys for Defendant FRONTWAVE CREDIT UNION Camille A. Brooks 11 Ashley T. Brines 12 KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600 13 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012 14 BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, 15 following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with KalielGold PLLC's practice 16 for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United 17 States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. 18 [X] BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused a copy of the document(s) to be sent from e-mail address ngarcia@kalielpllc.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. 20 21 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 22 Executed on May 28, 2024, at Los Angeles, California. 23 24

25

26

27

28